STRATIFICATIONS FOR MODULI OF SHEAVES AND MODULI OF QUIVER REPRESENTATIONS

VICTORIA HOSKINS

arXiv:1407.4057v1 [math.AG] 15 Jul 2014

Abstract

We study the relationship between two stratifications on parameter spaces for coherent sheaves and for quiver representations: a stratification by Harder–Narasimhan types and a stratification arising from the geometric invariant theory construction of the associated moduli spaces of semistable objects. For quiver representations, both stratifications coincide, but this is not quite true for sheaves. We explain why the stratifications on various Quot schemes do not coincide and see that the correct parameter space to compare such stratifications is the stack of coherent sheaves. Then we relate these stratifications for sheaves and quiver representations using a generalisation of a construction of Álvarez-Cónsul and King.

1. Introduction

Many moduli spaces can be described as a quotient of a reductive group G acting on a scheme B using the methods of geometric invariant theory (GIT) developed by Mumford [18]. This depends on a choice of linearisation of the G-action which determines an open subscheme B^{ss} of B of semistable points such that the GIT quotient $B//G$ is a good quotient of B^{ss}. In this article, we are interested in two moduli problems with such a GIT construction:

(1) moduli of representations of a quiver Q with relations $\mathcal{R}$;
(2) moduli of coherent sheaves on a polarised projective scheme $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$.

In the first case, King [14] uses a stability parameter θ to construct moduli spaces of θ-semistable quiver representations of dimension vector d as a GIT quotient of an affine variety by a reductive group action linearised by a character χ_θ. In the second case, Simpson [24] constructs a moduli space of semistable sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P as a GIT quotient of a projective scheme by a reductive group action linearised by an ample line bundle.

For both moduli problems, we compare two stratifications: a Hesselink stratification arising from the GIT construction and a stratification by Harder–Narasimhan types. For quiver representations, both stratifications coincide, but this is not the case for sheaves. We explain why these stratifications do not agree for sheaves and how to rectify this. Then we relate these stratifications for sheaves and quiver representations using a construction of Álvarez-Cónsul and King [1].

1.1. Harder–Narasimhan stratifications.

In both of the above moduli problems, there is a notion of semistability for objects that involves verifying an inequality for all subobjects; in fact, this arises from the GIT notion of semistability appearing in the construction of these moduli spaces. The idea of a Harder–Narasimhan (HN) filtration is to construct a unique maximally destabilising filtration for each object in a moduli problem [8].

Every coherent sheaf has a unique HN filtration: for pure sheaves, this result is well-known (cf. [12] Theorem 1.3.4) and the extension to coherent sheaves is due to Rudakov [22]. For quiver representations, there is no canonical notion of HN filtration with respect to the stability parameter θ. Rather, the notion of HN filtration depends on a collection of positive integers α_v indexed by the vertices v of the quiver (see Definition 3.4). We note that in many previous works, only the choice $\alpha_v = 1$, for all vertices v, is considered.

For both quiver representations and sheaves, we can stratify the associated moduli stacks by HN types (which encode the invariants of the successive quotients in the HN filtrations) and we can stratify the parameter spaces used in the GIT construction of these moduli spaces.

1.2. **Hesselink stratifications.** Let G be a reductive group acting on a scheme B such that

(1) B is affine and the action on the structure sheaf is linearised by a character of G , or
(2) B is projective with an ample G-linearisation.

Then, by the Hilbert–Mumford criterion [18], it suffices to check GIT semistability on 1-parameter subgroups (1-PSs) of G. Associated to this action and a choice of norm on the set of conjugacy classes of 1-PSs, there is a stratification due to Hesselink [9] of B

$$B = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{I}} S_\beta$$

into finitely many disjoint G-invariant locally closed subschemes. Furthermore, there is a partial order on the strata such that the closure of a stratum is contained in the union over all higher strata, the lowest stratum is B^{ss} and the higher strata parametrise points of different instability types. In order to construct this stratification, the idea is to associate to each unstable point a conjugacy class of adapted 1-PSs of G, in the sense of Kempf [13], that are most responsible for the instability of this point.

These stratifications have a combinatorial nature: the index set can be determined from the weights of the action of a maximal torus of G and the strata S_β can be constructed from simpler subschemes Z_β, known as limit sets, which are semistable sets for smaller reductive group actions (cf. [15, 19] for the projective case and [10] for the affine case).

Hesselink stratifications have a diverse range of applications. For a smooth projective variety B, the cohomology of $B//G$ can be studied via these stratifications [15]. In variation of GIT, these stratifications are used to describe the birational transformations between quotients [6, 25] and to provide orthogonal decompositions in the derived categories of GIT quotients [3, 7].

1.3. **Comparison results.** For quiver representations, the choice of parameter α used to define HN filtrations corresponds to a choice of norm $||-||_\alpha$ used to define the Hesselink stratification. The corresponding HN and Hesselink stratifications on the space of quiver representations agree: for a quiver without relations, this result is [10] Theorem 5.5 and we deduce the corresponding result for a quiver with relations in Theorem 3.8.

Simpson constructs the moduli space of semistable sheaves on $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ with Hilbert polynomial P as a GIT quotient of a closed subscheme R_n of the Quot scheme

$$\mathrm{Quot}_n := \mathrm{Quot}(k^{P(n)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$$

by the natural $\mathrm{SL}_{P(n)}$-action for n sufficiently large. The associated Hesselink stratification of R_n was partially described in [11] and compared with the stratification by HN types. It is natural to expect these stratifications to coincide, as in the case of quiver representations, but it is only shown in [11] that a pure HN stratum is contained in a Hesselink stratum for n sufficiently large.

In this article, we complete the description of the Hesselink stratification of Quot_n and extend the inclusion result of [11] to non-pure HN types (cf. Theorem 5.19). Moreover, we explain why the Hesselink and HN stratifications on the Quot scheme do not agree. The underlying moral reason is that the Quot scheme does not parametrise all sheaves on X with all Hilbert polynomial P and so is only a truncated parameter space. The natural solution is to instead consider the moduli stack $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$ of coherent sheaves on X with Hilbert polynomial P. As every coherent sheaf is n-regular for n sufficiently large, the open substacks of n-regular sheaves form an increasing cover

$$\mathcal{C}oh_P(X) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{C}oh_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X)$$

such that $\mathcal{C}oh_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X)$ is a stack quotient of an open subscheme of Quot_n by $\mathrm{GL}_{P(n)}$. Using this description, we can view the Quot schemes as finite dimensional approximations to $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$. We use the Hesselink stratifications on each Quot_n to construct an associated Hesselink stratification on $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$, which can be seen as a limit over n of the stratifications on Quot_n. The main result is that the Hesselink and HN stratifications on $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$ coincide (cf. 5.29).

1.4. **The functorial construction of Álvarez-Cónsul and King.** We relate these stratifications for sheaves and quivers using a functor considered by Álvarez-Cónsul and King [1]

$$\Phi_{n,m} := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(-n) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-m), -) : \mathbf{Coh}(X) \to \mathbf{Reps}(K_{n,m})$$

from the category of coherent sheaves on X to the category of representations of a Kronecker quiver $K_{n,m}$ with two vertices n, m and $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m-n))$ arrows from n to m. Explicitly, a sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ is sent to the $K_{n,m}$-representation $W_{\mathcal{E}} = (W_{\mathcal{E},n}, W_{\mathcal{E},m}, \mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{E}})$ where $W_{\mathcal{E},l} := H^0(\mathcal{E}(l))$ and the morphisms are given by the evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{E}} : H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m-n)) \to H^0(\mathcal{E}(m)).$$

Álvarez-Cónsul and King [1] show, for $m >> n >> 0$, this functor embeds the subcategory of semistable sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P into the subcategory of $\theta_{n,m}(P)$-semistable quiver representations of dimension $d_{n,m}(P)$ and construct the moduli space of semistable sheaves on X with Hilbert polynomial P by using King's construction of the moduli spaces of quiver representations.

In the final section of this article, we relate the stratifications for moduli of quivers and sheaves using this map of Álvarez-Cónsul and King. We prove that a HN stratum for sheaves is sent to a HN stratum for quiver representations (cf. Theorem 6.8); although, multiple HN strata for sheaves can be sent to the same HN stratum for quiver representations when $\dim X > 1$.

Notation and conventions. We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero and by scheme, we mean scheme of finite type over k. By sheaf, we always mean coherent algebraic sheaf. For schemes X and S, by a family of sheaves on X parametrised by S, we mean a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ over $X \times S$ that is flat over S and we write $\mathcal{F}_s := \mathcal{F}|_{X\times\{s\}}$.

We use the term stratification in a weaker sense than usual to mean a decomposition into disjoint locally closed subsets with a partial order on the strata such that the closure of a given stratum is contained in the union of all higher strata (usually for a stratification, one requires the closure of a given stratum to be the union of all higher strata).

For natural numbers m and n, we write 'for $m >> n$' or 'for m sufficiently larger than n' to mean there exists $N \geq n$ such that for all $m \geq N$. Similarly, by 'for $n_r >> n_{r-1} >> \cdots >> n_0$', we mean $\exists N_0 \geq n_0\, \forall n_1 \geq N_0\, \exists N_1 \geq n_1\, \forall n_2 \geq N_1 \ldots \exists N_{r-1} \geq n_{r-1}\, \forall n_r \geq N_{r-1}$.

2. Hesselink stratifications of affine varieties

Let G be a reductive group acting linearly on an affine variety V and let L_ρ denote the G-linearisation on the structure sheaf of V obtained by twisting by a character $\rho : G \to \mathbb{G}_m$.

Definition 2.1. A point $v \in V$ is ρ-semistable if there exists $\sigma \in H^0(V, L_\rho^{\otimes n})^G$ for some $n > 0$ such that $\sigma(v) \neq 0$. We let $V^{\rho-\mathrm{ss}}$ denote the subset of ρ-semistable points.

By [18] Theorem 1.10, the GIT quotient of G acting on V with respect to L_ρ

$$V^{\rho-\mathrm{ss}} \to V/\!/_\rho G := \mathrm{Proj} \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^0(V, L_\rho^{\otimes n})^G$$

is a good quotient. Let $(-,-) : X^*(G) \times X_*(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the natural pairing between characters and cocharacters; then semistability can be checked on 1-parameter subgroups $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$.

Theorem 2.2 (The Hilbert–Mumford criterion [14, 18])**.** *A point $v \in V$ is ρ-semistable if and only we have $(\rho, \lambda) \geq 0$, for every 1-PS $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ such that $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot v$ exists.*

The unstable locus $V - V^{\rho-ss}$ can be stratified by instability types by assigning to each unstable point a conjugacy class of 1-PSs that is 'most responsible' for the instability of this point and then stratifying by these conjugacy classes. To give a precise meaning to the term 'most responsible' we use a norm $||-||$ on the set $\overline{X}_*(G)$ of conjugacy classes of 1-PSs of G. More precisely, we fix a maximal torus T of G and a Weyl invariant norm $||-||_T$ on $X_*(T)_{\mathbb{R}}$; then, for $\lambda \in X_*(G)$, we let $||\lambda|| := ||g\lambda g^{-1}||_T$ for $g \in G$ such that $g\lambda g^{-1} \in X_*(T)$.

Example 2.3. (a) Let T be the diagonal maximal torus in $G = \mathrm{GL}(n)$; then the norm associated to the dot product on $\mathbb{R}^n \cong X_*(T)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is invariant under the Weyl group $W = S_n$.

(b) For a product of general linear groups $G = \mathrm{GL}(n_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(n_r)$, we can use positive numbers $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r$ to weight the norms $||-||$ for each factor constructed by part (a); that is,

$$||(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)||_\alpha := \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i ||\lambda_i||.$$

We fix a norm $||-||$ on $\overline{X}_*(G)$ such that $||-||^2$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-valued. In the study of instability in projective GIT, Kempf used such a norm to define a notion of adapted 1-PSs [13]. In the affine setting, we use an appropriately modified definition as given in [10]. For $v \in V$, we let

$$M_G^\rho(v) := \inf \left\{ \frac{(\rho, \lambda)}{||\lambda||} : \text{ 1-PSs } \lambda \text{ of } G \text{ such that } \lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot v \text{ exists} \right\}.$$

Definition 2.4. A 1-PS λ is ρ-adapted to a ρ-unstable point v if $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot v$ exists and

$$M_G^\rho(v) = \frac{(\rho, \lambda)}{||\lambda||}.$$

We let $\wedge^\rho(v)$ denote the set of primitive 1-PSs which are ρ-adapted to v. Let $[\lambda]$ denote the conjugacy class of a 1-PS λ; then the Hesselink stratum associated to $[\lambda]$ is

$$S_{[\lambda]} := \{v \in V - V^{\rho\text{-ss}} : \wedge^\rho(v) \cap [\lambda] \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We define a strict partial ordering $<$ on $\overline{X}_*(G)$ by $[\lambda] < [\lambda']$ if

$$\frac{(\rho, \lambda)}{||\lambda||} > \frac{(\rho, \lambda')}{||\lambda'||}.$$

Let V_+^λ be the closed subvariety of V consisting of points v such that $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot v$ exists; then we have a natural retraction $p_\lambda : V_+^\lambda \to V^\lambda$ onto the λ-fixed locus. The following theorem describing the Hesselink strata appears in [10] for the case when V is an affine space.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a reductive group acting linearly on an affine variety V with respect to a character ρ. Let $||-||$ be a norm on $\overline{X}_*(G)$; then there is a decomposition*

$$V - V^{\rho\text{-ss}} = \bigsqcup_{[\lambda] \in \mathcal{B}} S_{[\lambda]}$$

into finitely many disjoint G-invariant locally closed subvarieties of V. Moreover, we have

1. *$S_{[\lambda]} = GS_\lambda$ where $S_\lambda := \{v : \lambda \in \wedge^\rho(v)\}$,*
2. *$S_\lambda = p_\lambda^{-1}(Z_\lambda)$ where $Z_\lambda := \{v : \lambda \in \wedge^\rho(v) \cap G_v\}$,*
3. *Z_λ is the semistable subset for $G_\lambda := \mathrm{Stab}_G(\lambda)$ acting on V^λ with respect to the character $\rho_\lambda := ||\lambda||^2 \rho - (\rho, \lambda)\lambda^*$, where λ^* is the $||-||$-dual character to λ,*
4. *$\partial S_{[\lambda]} \cap S_{[\lambda']} \neq \emptyset$ only if $[\lambda] < [\lambda']$.*

Proof. We deduce the result for a closed G-invariant subvariety V of an affine space W from the result for W given in [10]. Since taking invariants for a reductive group G is exact and $V \subset W$ is closed, it follows that $V^{\rho-ss} = V \cap W^{\rho-ss}$ and the Hesselink stratification of $V - V^{\rho-ss}$ is the intersection of $V - V^{\rho-ss}$ with the Hesselink stratification of $W - W^{\rho-ss}$; that is,

$$V = \bigsqcup_{[\lambda]} S_{[\lambda]}^V \quad \text{and} \quad W = \bigsqcup_{[\lambda]} S_{[\lambda]}^W, \qquad \text{where} \quad S_{[\lambda]}^V = V \cap S_{[\lambda]}^W.$$

We use properties of the strata for W to prove the analogous properties of the strata for V.

1. As V is G-invariant, we have that $S_{[\lambda]}^V := V \cap S_{[\lambda]}^W = G(V \cap S_\lambda^W) = GS_\lambda^V$.
2. As $V \subset W$ is closed, we have that $S_\lambda^V = V \cap S_\lambda^W = p_\lambda^{-1}(V \cap Z_\lambda^W) = p_\lambda^{-1}(Z_\lambda^V)$.
3. As G_λ is reductive and $V \subset W$ is closed, we have that $Z_\lambda^V = V \cap Z_\lambda^W$ is the GIT semistable locus for G_λ acting on $V^\lambda = V \cap W^\lambda$.
4. As $V \subset W$ is closed, we have that $\emptyset \neq \partial S_{[\lambda]}^V \cap S_{[\lambda']}^V \subset \partial S_{[\lambda]}^W \cap S_{[\lambda']}^W$ only if $[\lambda] < [\lambda']$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

By setting $S_{[0]} := V^{\rho\text{-ss}}$, we obtain a Hesselink stratification of V

$$V = \bigsqcup_{[\lambda]} S_{[\lambda]}. \tag{1}$$

2.1. **Computing the Hesselink stratification.** The task of computing these stratifications is greatly simplified by Theorem 2.5, as we can construct the strata from the limit sets Z_λ. Furthermore, we will see that, by fixing a maximal torus T of G, we can determine the indices for the unstable strata from the T-weights of the action on V.

Definition 2.6. For $v \in V$, we let W_v denote the set of T-weights of v. For each subset W of T-weights, we consider an associated cone in $X_*(T)_{\mathbb{R}} = X_*(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$

$$C_W := \bigcap_{\chi \in W} H_\chi \qquad \text{where } H_\chi := \{\lambda \in X_*(T)_{\mathbb{R}} : (\lambda, \chi) \geq 0\}.$$

Let $\rho_T \in X^*(T)$ denote the restriction of ρ to T; then a subset W of the T-weights is called ρ_T-semistable if $C_W \subseteq H_{\rho_T}$ and otherwise we say W is ρ_T-unstable.

If W is ρ_T-unstable, we let λ_W be the unique primitive 1-PS in $C_W \cap X_*(T)$ for which $\frac{(\lambda,\rho)}{||\lambda||}$ is minimal (for the existence and uniqueness of this 1-PS, see [10] Lemma 2.13).

If $W = \emptyset$, then $C_W = X_*(T)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and W is ρ_T-unstable for all non-trivial ρ_T with $\lambda_W = -\rho_T$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $T = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ act linearly on an affine variety V with respect to a character $\rho : T \to \mathbb{G}_m$ and let $|| - ||$ be the norm associated to the dot product on $\mathbb{Z}^n$; then the Hesselink stratification for the torus T is given by*

$$V - V^{\rho-ss} = \bigsqcup_{W \in \mathcal{B}} S_{\lambda_W}$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \{W : W \text{ is } \rho\text{-unstable}\}$ and $S_{\lambda_W} = \{v \in V : W = W_v\}$. Therefore, the stratification is determined by the T-weights and, moreover, $v \in V$ is ρ-semistable if and only if its T-weight set W_v is ρ-semistable (that is, $C_{W_v} \subseteq H_\rho$).

Proof. By construction, C_{W_v} is the cone of (real) 1-PSs λ such that $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot v$ exists. By the Hilbert–Mumford criterion, v is ρ-semistable if and only if $(\lambda, \rho) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in C_{W_v}$; that is, if and only if $C_{W_v} \subseteq H_\rho$. Therefore, v is ρ-semistable if and only if W_v is ρ-semistable.

As the conjugation action is trivial, $[\lambda_W] = \lambda_W$ and every ρ-unstable point has a unique ρ-adapted primitive 1-PS. If v is ρ-unstable, then λ_{W_v} is ρ-adapted to v by [10] Lemma 2.13. □

For a reductive group G, we fix a maximal torus T of G and positive Weyl chamber. Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the set of 1-PSs λ_W corresponding to elements in this positive Weyl chamber where W is a ρ_T-unstable set of weights.

Corollary 2.8. *Let G be a reductive group acting linearly on an affine variety V with respect to a character $\rho : G \to \mathbb{G}_m$. Then the Hesselink stratification is given by*

$$V - V^{\rho-ss} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda_W \in \mathcal{B}} S_{[\lambda_W]}.$$

Proof. It is clear that the left hand side is contained in the right hand side. Conversely, suppose that $v \in V - V^{\rho-ss}$ and λ is an primitive 1-PS of G that is ρ-adapted to v. Then there exists $g \in G$ such that $\lambda' := g\lambda g^{-1} \in X_*(T)$ and, moreover, λ' is ρ-adapted to $v' := g \cdot v$ for the G-action on V. As every 1-PSs of T is a 1-PSs of G, it follows that $M^\rho_G(v') \leq M^{\rho_T}_T(v')$. Therefore, λ' is ρ_T-adapted to v' for the T-action on V and $\lambda' = \lambda_W \in \mathcal{B}$, where $W = W_{v'}$. In particular, we have that $v \in S_{[\lambda]} = S_{[\lambda_W]}$. □

This gives an algorithm to compute the Hesselink stratification: the index set $\mathcal{B}$ is determined from the T-weights and, for each $[\lambda] \in \mathcal{B}$, we compute $S_{[\lambda]}$ from Z_λ using Theorem 2.5.

Remark 2.9. In general, the Hesselink stratification for G and its maximal torus T are not easily comparable. The ρ-semistable locus for the G-action is contained in the ρ-semistable locus for the T-action, but the G-stratification does not refine the T-stratification. Often there are more T-strata, since 1-PSs of T may be conjugate in G but not in T.

Example 2.10. Let $G := \mathrm{GL}_r$ act on $V := \mathrm{Mat}_{r\times n} \cong \mathbb{A}^{rn}$ by left multiplication, linearised with respect to the character $\rho := \det : G \to \mathbb{G}_m$. It is well known that the GIT quotient is

$$\mathrm{Mat}_{r\times n}/\!/_{\det}\mathrm{GL}_r = \mathrm{Gr}(r,n)$$

the Grassmannian of r-planes in $\mathbb{A}^n$ and the GIT semistable locus is given by matrices of rank r. In this example, we show that the Hesselink stratification is given by rank.

We fix the maximal torus $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^r$ of diagonal matrices in G and use the dot product on $\mathbb{Z}^r \cong X_*(T)$ to define a norm $||-||$ on conjugacy classes of 1-PSs. The weights of the T-action on V are $\chi_1,\dots,\chi_r$ where χ_i denotes the i^{th} standard basis vector in $\mathbb{Z}^r$ and the Weyl group S_r acts transitively on the T-weights. The restriction of the determinant character to T is given by $\rho_T = (1,\dots,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \cong X^*(T)$.

As the Weyl group is the full permutation group on the set of T-weights, it follows that λ_W and $\lambda_{W'}$ are conjugate under S_r whenever $|W| = |W'|$. Hence, for $k = 0,\dots,r$, we let

$$W_k := \{\chi_1,\dots,\chi_k\}$$

and note that W_k is ρ_T-unstable if and only if $k < r$ with corresponding ρ_T-adapted 1-PS

$$\lambda_k := (\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{k},\underbrace{-1,\dots,-1}_{r-k}).$$

By Corollary 2.8, the index set for the stratification is $\mathcal{B} = \{\lambda_k : 0 \le k \le r-1\}$. We use Theorem 2.5 to calculate the unstable strata. Since

$$V_+^{\lambda_k} = V^{\lambda_k} = \left\{ v = \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} & \cdots & \cdots & v_{1n} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ v_{k1} & v_{k2} & \cdots & \cdots & v_{kn} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{r\times n} \right\} \cong \mathrm{Mat}_{k\times n},$$

we also have that $S_{\lambda_k} = Z_{\lambda_k}$. By definition, Z_{λ_k} is the GIT semistable set for $G_{\lambda_k} \cong \mathrm{GL}_k \times \mathrm{GL}_{r-k}$ acting on V^{λ_k} with respect to the character

$$\rho_{\lambda_k} := ||\lambda_k||^2\rho - (\lambda_k,\rho)\lambda_k = (r-k)\rho - (k-r)\lambda_k = (\underbrace{r-k,\dots,r-k}_{k},\ \underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{r-k}).$$

As positive rescaling of the character does not alter the semistable locus, we can without loss of generality assume that ρ_{λ_k} is the product of the determinant character on GL_k and the trivial character on GL_{r-k}. Therefore, Z_{λ_k} is the GIT semistable set for GL_k acting on $\mathrm{Mat}_{k\times n} \cong V^{\lambda_k}$ with respect to $\det : \mathrm{GL}_k \to \mathbb{G}_m$; that is, Z_{λ_k} is the set of matrices in $\mathrm{Mat}_{k\times n}$ whose final $r-k$ rows are zero and whose top k row vectors are linearly independent. Then, $S_{[\lambda_k]} = GS_{\lambda_k}$ is the locally closed subvariety of rank k matrices and the Hesselink stratification is given by rank.

3. Stratifications for moduli of quiver representations

Let $Q = (V, A, h, t)$ be a quiver with vertex set V, arrow set A and head and tail maps $h, t : A \to V$ giving the direction of the arrows. A k-representation of a quiver $Q = (V, A, h, t)$ is a tuple $W = (W_v, \phi_a)$ consisting of a k-vector space W_v for each vertex v and a linear map $\phi_a : W_{t(a)} \to W_{h(a)}$ for each arrow a. The dimension vector of W is $\dim W = (\dim W_v) \in \mathbb{N}^V$.

3.1. **Semistable quiver representations.** For quiver representations of a fixed dimension vector $d = (d_v) \in \mathbb{N}^V$, King introduced a notion of semistability depending on a stability parameter $\theta = (\theta_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^V$ such that $\sum_{v\in V} \theta_v d_v = 0$.

Definition 3.1 (King, [14])**.** A representation W of Q of dimension d is θ-semistable if for all subrepresentations $W' \subset W$ we have $\theta(W') := \sum_v \theta_v \dim W'_v \geq 0$.

We recall King's construction of moduli of θ-semistable quiver representations [14]. Let

$$\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q) := \bigoplus_{a\in A} \mathrm{Hom}(k^{d_{t(a)}}, k^{d_{h(a)}}) \quad \text{and} \quad G_d(Q) := \prod_{v\in V} \mathrm{GL}(d_v, k);$$

then $G_d(Q)$ acts on $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)$ by conjugation. Since the diagonal subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m \cong \Delta \subset G_d(Q)$, given by $t \in \mathbb{G}_m \mapsto (tI_{d_v})_{v\in V} \in G_d(Q)$, acts trivially on $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)$, we often consider the quotient group $\overline{G}_d(Q) := G_d(Q)/\Delta$. We note that every representation of Q of dimension d is isomorphic to an element of $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)$ and, moreover, two representations in $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)$ are isomorphic if and only if they lie in the same $\overline{G}_d(Q)$ orbit. Hence, the moduli stack $\mathcal{R}ep_d(Q)$ of representations of Q of dimension d is isomorphic to the quotient stack of $\overline{G}_d(Q)$ acting on $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)$:

$$\mathcal{R}ep_d(Q) \cong [\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)/\overline{G}_d(Q)].$$

The $G_d(Q)$-action on $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)$ is linearised by $\rho_\theta : G_d(Q) \to \mathbb{G}_m$ where $\rho_\theta(g_v) := \Pi_v \det(g_v)^{\theta_v}$.

Theorem 3.2 (King, [14])**.** *The moduli space of θ-semistable quiver representations is given by*

$$M_d^{\theta-ss}(Q) := \mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)/\!/_{\rho_\theta} G_d(Q).$$

In particular, King shows that the notion of θ-semistability for quiver representations agrees with the notion of GIT semistability for $G_d(Q)$ acting on $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)$ with respect to ρ_θ.

Remark 3.3. We can also consider moduli spaces of θ-semistable quiver representations for quivers with relations. A path in a quiver is a sequence of arrows in the quiver $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ such that $h(a_i) = t(a_{i+1})$ and a relation is a linear combination of paths all of which start at some common vertex v_t and end at a common vertex v_h. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a set of relations on Q and let $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q, \mathcal{R})$ be the closed subvariety of $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)$ consisting of quiver representations that satisfy the relations $\mathcal{R}$. The moduli space of ρ-semistable representations of $(Q, \mathcal{R})$ is a closed subvariety of the moduli space of ρ-semistable representations of Q:

$$M_d^{\theta-ss}(Q, \mathcal{R}) := \mathrm{Rep}_d(Q, \mathcal{R})/\!/_{\rho_\theta} G_d(Q) \subset M_d^{\theta-ss}(Q).$$

3.2. **HN filtrations for quivers representations.** We fix a quiver Q, a dimension vector $d \in \mathbb{N}^V$ and a stability parameter $\theta = (\theta_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^V$ such that $\sum_v \theta_v d_v = 0$. To define a Harder–Narasimhan filtration, we need a notion of semistability for quiver representations of all dimension vectors and for this we use a parameter $\alpha = (\alpha_v) \in \mathbb{N}^V$.

Definition 3.4. A representation W of Q is (θ, α)-semistable if for all $0 \neq W' \subset W$, we have

$$\frac{\theta(W')}{\alpha(W')} \geq \frac{\theta(W)}{\alpha(W)}$$

where $\alpha(W) := \sum_{v\in V} \alpha_v \dim W_v$. A Harder–Narasimhan (HN) filtration of W (with respect to θ and α) is a filtration $0 = W^{(0)} \subset W^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset W^{(s)} = W$ by subrepresentations, such that the quotient representations $W_i := W^{(i)}/W^{(i-1)}$ are (θ, α)-semistable and

$$\frac{\theta(W_1)}{\alpha(W_1)} < \frac{\theta(W_2)}{\alpha(W_2)} < \cdots < \frac{\theta(W_s)}{\alpha(W_s)}.$$

The Harder–Narasimhan type of W (with respect to θ and α) is $\gamma(W) := (\dim W_1, \ldots, \dim W_s)$.

If W is a representation of dimension d, then $\theta(W) = 0$ and so W is (θ, α)-semistable if and only if it is θ-semistable. The proof of the existence and uniqueness of the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of quiver representations is standard (for example, see [21], for the case when $\alpha_v = 1$

for all v). By the existence and uniqueness of the HN-filtration with respect to (θ, α), we have a decomposition

$$\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q) = \bigsqcup_\gamma R_\gamma$$

where R_γ denotes the subset of representations with HN type γ. Reineke [21] proves that R_γ are locally closed subvarieties of $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)$ (when $\alpha_v = 1$ for all v; the general case is analogous). We refer to the above decomposition as the HN stratification of the representation space (with respect to θ and α). As isomorphic quiver representations have the same HN type, each HN stratum R_γ is invariant under the action of $\overline{G}_d(Q)$. Hence, we obtain a HN stratification of the moduli stack of quiver representations:

$$\mathcal{R}ep_d(Q) = \bigsqcup_\gamma \mathcal{R}ep_d^\gamma(Q)$$

where $\mathcal{R}ep_d^\gamma(Q) \cong [R_\gamma/\overline{G}_d(Q)]$. We emphasise again that this stratification depends on both θ and α. In particular, by varying the parameter α, we obtain different HN stratifications.

Remark 3.5. For a quiver with relations $(Q, \mathcal{R})$, there is also a HN stratification of the representation space $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q, \mathcal{R})$. If W is a representation of Q that satisfies the relations $\mathcal{R}$, then any subrepresentation of W also satisfies these relations. Therefore, the HN strata of $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q, \mathcal{R})$ are the intersection of the HN strata in $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q)$ with $\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q, \mathcal{R})$.

3.3. **The HN stratification is the Hesselink stratification.** Let T be the maximal torus of $G_d(Q)$ given by the product of the maximal tori $T_v \subset \mathrm{GL}(d_v)$ of diagonal matrices. For a rational 1-PS $\lambda \in X_*(G)_\mathbb{Q}$, there is a unique $C > 0$ such that $C\lambda \in X_*(G)$ is primitive.

Definition 3.6. For a HN type $\gamma = (d_1, \ldots, d_s)$ of a quiver representation of dimension d, let λ_γ be the unique primitive 1-PS associated to the rational 1-PS $\lambda'_\gamma = (\lambda'_{\gamma,v})$ of T given by

$$\lambda'_{\gamma,v}(t) = \mathrm{diag}(t^{r_1}, \ldots, t^{r_1}, t^{r_2}, \ldots, t^{r_2}, \ldots\ldots, t^{r_s}, \ldots, t^{r_s})$$

where the rational weight $r_i := -\theta(d_i)/\alpha(d_i)$ appears $(d_i)_v$ times.

Remark 3.7. For $\gamma \neq \gamma'$, the conjugacy classes of λ_γ and $\lambda_{\gamma'}$ are distinct.

Let $||-||_\alpha$ be the norm on 1-PSs of $G_d(Q)$ associated to $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^V$ (cf. Example 2.3). For a quiver without relations, the following result is [10] Theorem 5.5. We can deduce the case with relations from this result using Theorem 2.5 and Remark 3.5.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $(Q, \mathcal{R})$ be a quiver with relations and $d \in \mathbb{N}^V$ a dimension vector. For stability parameters $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^V$ satisfying $\sum_{v\in V} \theta_v d_v = 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^V$, we let*

$$\mathrm{Rep}_d(Q, \mathcal{R}) = \bigsqcup_\gamma R_\gamma \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{Rep}_d(Q, \mathcal{R}) = \bigsqcup_{[\lambda]} S_{[\lambda]}$$

denote the HN stratification with respect to (θ, α) and the Hesselink stratification with respect to ρ_θ and $||-||_\alpha$ respectively. Then $R_\gamma = S_{[\lambda_\gamma]}$ and the stratifications coincide.

The moduli stack admits a finite stratification by HN types or, equivalently, Hesselink strata

$$\mathcal{R}ep_d(Q, \mathcal{R}) = \bigsqcup_\tau \mathcal{R}ep_d^\gamma(Q, \mathcal{R}) = \bigsqcup_{[\lambda]} [S_{[\lambda]}/\overline{G}_d(Q)].$$

4. Projective GIT and Hesselink stratifications

Let G be a reductive group acting on a projective scheme X with respect to an ample G-linearisation L. The projective GIT quotient

$$X^{ss}(L) \to X/\!/_L G := \mathrm{Proj} \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^0(X, L_\rho^{\otimes n})^G$$

is a good quotient of the open subscheme $X^{ss}(L)$ of X of L-semistable points. For a 1-PS λ of G and $x \in X$, we let $\mu^L(x, \lambda)$ denote the weight of the $\mathbb{G}_m$ action on the fibre of L over the fixed point $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x$.

Theorem 4.1 (Hilbert–Mumford criterion [18])**.** *A point x is L-semistable if and only we have $\mu^L(x,\lambda) \geq 0$ for all 1-PSs $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$.*

Fix a norm $||-||$ on the set $\overline{X}_*(G)$ of conjugacy classes of 1-PSs such that $||-||^2$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-valued.

Definition 4.2 (Kempf, [13])**.** For $x \in X$, let

$$M^L(x) := \inf\left\{\frac{\mu^L(x,\lambda)}{||\lambda||} : \text{ 1-PSs } \lambda \text{ of } G\right\}.$$

A 1-PS λ is L-adapted to an L-unstable point x if

$$M^L(x) = \frac{\mu^L(x,\lambda)}{||\lambda||}.$$

Let $\wedge^L(x)$ denote the set of primitive 1-PSs which are L-adapted to x.

Definition 4.3. (Hesselink, [9]) For a conjugacy class $[\lambda]$ of 1-PSs of G and $d \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, we let

$$S_{[\lambda],d} := \{x : M^L(x) = -d \text{ and } \wedge^\rho(x) \cap [\lambda] \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let $p_\lambda : X \to X^\lambda$ denote the retraction onto the λ-fixed locus given by $x \mapsto \lim_{t\to 0}\lambda(t)\cdot x$ and let $X^\lambda_d \subset X^\lambda$ be the union of components on which $\mu^L(-,\lambda) = -d||\lambda||$. Let G_λ be the subgroup of elements of G that commute with λ and let $P(\lambda)$ be the parabolic subgroup of elements $g \in G$ such that $\lim_{t\to 0}\lambda(t)g\lambda(t)^{-1}$ exists in G.

Theorem 4.4 (cf. [9, 15, 19])**.** *Let G be a reductive group acting on a projective scheme X with respect to an ample G-linearisation L and let $||-||$ be a norm on conjugacy classes of 1-PSs of G. Then there is a Hesselink stratification*

$$X - X^{ss}(L) = \bigsqcup_{([\lambda],d)} S_{[\lambda],d}$$

into finitely many disjoint G-invariant locally closed subschemes of X. Moreover:

1. *$S_{[\lambda],d} = GY^\lambda_d \cong G \times_{P(\lambda)} Y^\lambda_d$ where $Y^\lambda_d = \{x \in X : M^L(x) = -d \text{ and } \lambda \in \wedge^\rho(x)\}$;*
2. *$Y^\lambda_d = p_\lambda^{-1}(Z^\lambda_d)$ where $Z^\lambda_d = \{x \in X^\lambda : M^L(x) = -d \text{ and } \lambda \in \wedge^\rho(x)\}$;*
3. *Z^λ_d is the GIT-semistable locus for the reductive group G_λ acting on X^λ_d with respect to a modified linearisation L_β;*
4. *$\partial S_{[\lambda],d} \cap S_{[\lambda'],d'} \neq \emptyset$ only if $d > d'$.*

Let $S_0 := X^{ss}(L)$; then we obtain a Hesselink stratification of X

$$X = \bigsqcup_{\beta\in\mathcal{B}} S_\beta$$

where the lowest stratum is S_0 and the higher strata are indexed by pairs $\beta = ([\lambda], d)$ as above. We note that if $\beta = ([\lambda], d)$ indexes a non-empty stratum, then $d||\lambda|| \in \mathbb{Z}$ as $\mu(-,\lambda)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-valued.

Remark 4.5. An unstable Hesselink index $\beta = ([\lambda], d)$ determines a rational 1-PS

$$\lambda_\beta := \frac{d}{||\lambda||}\lambda$$

(where $d/||\lambda||$ is rational, as $||\lambda||^2$ and $d||\lambda||$ are both integral). In fact, we can recover $([\lambda], d)$ from λ_β, as $d = -||\lambda_\beta||$ and λ is the unique primitive 1-PS lying on the ray spanned by λ_β.

Remark 4.6. The additional index d is redundant in the affine case, as it can be determined from the character ρ and 1-PS λ (more precisely, we have $d = -(\rho,\lambda)/||\lambda||$). Moreover, projective Hesselink stratifications share the following properties with affine Hesselink stratifications.

1. If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and G acts via a representation $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$, then the unstable indices can be determined from the weights of a maximal torus of G; for details, see [15].
2. For a closed G-invariant subscheme X' of X, the Hesselink stratification of X' is the intersection of the Hesselink stratification of X with X'.
3. The Hesselink strata may not be connected; however, if $Z^\lambda_{d,i}$ denote the connected components of Z^λ_d, then $S_{[\lambda],d,i} := Gp_\lambda^{-1}(Z^\lambda_{d,i})$ are the connected components of $S_{[\lambda],d}$.

5. Stratifications for moduli of sheaves

Let $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ be a projective scheme of finite type over k with a very ample line bundle.

5.1. **Preliminaries.** For a sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ over X, we let $\mathcal{E}(n) := \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n)$ and let

$$P(\mathcal{E}, n) = \chi(\mathcal{E}(n)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \dim H^i(\mathcal{E}(n))$$

be the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. We recall that the degree of $P(\mathcal{E})$ is equal to the dimension of $\mathcal{E}$ (i.e., the dimension of the support of $\mathcal{E}$) and a sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ is pure if all its non-zero subsheaves have the same dimension as $\mathcal{E}$. For a non-zero sheaf $\mathcal{E}$, the leading coefficient $r(\mathcal{E})$ of $P(\mathcal{E})$ is positive and we define the reduced Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{E}$ to be $P^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{E}) := P(\mathcal{E})/\mathrm{r}(\mathcal{E})$.

Definition 5.1 (Castelnuovo-Mumford [17])**.** A sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ over X is n-regular if

$$H^i(\mathcal{E}(n-i)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \ i > 0.$$

This is an open condition and, by Serre's Vanishing Theorem, any sheaf is n-regular for $n >> 0$. Furthermore, any bounded family of sheaves is n-regular for $n >> 0$.

Lemma 5.2 (cf. [17])**.** *For a n-regular sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ over X, we have the following results.*

i) *$\mathcal{E}$ is m-regular for all $m \geq n$.*
ii) *$\mathcal{E}(n)$ is globally generated with vanishing higher cohomology, i.e., the evaluation map $H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \to \mathcal{E}$ is surjective and $H^i(\mathcal{E}(n)) = 0$ for $i > 0$.*
iii) *The natural multiplication maps $H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m-n)) \to H^0(\mathcal{E}(m))$ are surjective for all $m \geq n$.*

If we have an exact sequence of sheaves over X

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}' \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'' \to 0,$$

such that $\mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{F}''$ are both n-regular, then $\mathcal{F}$ is also n-regular.

5.2. **Construction of the moduli space of semistable sheaves.** In this section, we outline Simpson's construction [24] of the moduli space of semistable sheaves on $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ with Hilbert polynomial P. We define an ordering $\leq$ on rational polynomials in one variable by $P \leq Q$ if $P(x) \leq Q(x)$ for all sufficiently large x. For polynomials of a fixed degree with positive leading coefficient, this is equivalent to the lexicographic ordering on the coefficients.

Definition 5.3. A pure sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X is semistable (in the sense of Gieseker) if for all non-zero subsheaves $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F}$, we have $P^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{E}) \leq P^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{F})$.

By the Simpson–Le Potier bounds (cf. [24] Theorem 1.1), the set of semistable sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P is bounded; therefore, for $n >> 0$, all semistable sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P are n-regular.

Let $V_n := k^{P(n)}$ be the trivial $P(n)$-dimensional vector space and let $\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$ denote the Quot scheme parametrising quotients sheaves of $V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n)$ with Hilbert polynomial P. Let Q_n denote the open subscheme of this Quot scheme consisting of quotients $q : V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $H^0(q(n))$ is an isomorphism, let $Q_n^{\mathrm{pure}} \subset Q_n$ denote the open subscheme consisting of quotient sheaves that are pure and let R_n denote the closure of Q_n^{pure} in the quot scheme.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a n-regular sheaf; then $\mathcal{E}(n)$ is globally generated and $\dim H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) = P(\mathcal{E}, n)$. In particular, every n-regular sheaf on X with Hilbert polynomial P can be represented as a quotient sheaf in Q_n by choosing an isomorphism $H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) \cong V_n$ and using the surjective evaluation map $H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$.

The group $G_n := \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$ acts on V_n and Q_n such that the G_n-orbits in Q_n are in bijection with isomorphism classes of sheaves in Q_n. As the diagonal $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts trivially, we often consider

the action of $\mathrm{SL}(V_n)$. The action is linearised using Grothendieck's embedding of the Quot scheme into a Grassmannian: the corresponding line bundle is given by

$$L_{n,m} := \det(\pi_*(\mathcal{U}_n \otimes \pi_X^* \mathcal{O}_X(m)))$$

for $m >> n$, where $\mathcal{U}_n$ denotes the universal quotient sheaf on the Quot scheme.

Theorem 5.4 (Simpson [24], Theorem 1.21)**.** *For $m >> n >> 0$, the moduli space of semistable sheaves on X with Hilbert polynomial P is the GIT quotient of R_n; that is,*

$$M^{ss}(X, P) = R_n /\!/_{L_{n,m}} \mathrm{SL}(V_n).$$

In his proof, Simpson shows, for $m >> n >> 0$ (depending on X and P), that an element $q : V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ in R_n is GIT semistable if and only if q belongs to the open subscheme Q_n^{ss} of Q_n consisting of quotient sheaves $q : V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is a semistable sheaf.

5.3. **The Hesselink stratification of the Quot scheme.** Associated to the action of $\mathrm{SL}(V_n)$ on $\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$ with respect to $L_{n,m}$ and the norm $||-||$ coming from the dot product on the diagonal torus T (cf. Example 2.3), there is a Hesselink stratification

$$\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P) = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} S_\beta$$

In this section, for fixed n, we describe this stratification of $\mathrm{Quot} := \mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$; this completes the partial description of the Hesselink stratification on R_n given in [11].

By Remark 4.5, the unstable indices β can equivalently be viewed as conjugacy classes of rational 1-PSs $[\lambda_\beta]$ of $\mathrm{SL}(V_n)$. We take a representative $\lambda_\beta \in X_*(T)$ and, by using the Weyl group action, assume that the weights are decreasing; that is,

$$\lambda_\beta(t) = \mathrm{diag}(t^{r_1}, \dots, t^{r_1}, t^{r_2}, \dots, t^{r_2}, \dots, t^{r_s}, \dots, t^{r_s})$$

where r_i are strictly decreasing rational numbers that occur with multiplicities l_i. Then β is equivalent to the decreasing sequence of rational weights $r(\beta) := (r_1, \dots, r_s)$ and multiplicities $l(\beta) := (l_1, \dots, l_s)$. Since λ_β is a rational 1-PSs of $\mathrm{SL}(V_n)$, we note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i = \dim V_n = P(n) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i l_i = 0.$$

We first describe the fixed locus for λ_β. The 1-PS λ_β determines a filtration of $V := V_n$

$$0 = V^{(0)} \subset V^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset V^{(s)} = V, \quad \text{where } V^{(i)} := k^{l_1 + \cdots + l_i}$$

with $V^i := V^{(i)}/V^{(i-1)}$ of dimension l_i. For a quotient $q : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ in Quot, we let $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} := q(V^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n))$; then we have exact sequences of quotient sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & V^{(i-1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) & \longrightarrow & V^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) & \longrightarrow & V^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q^{(i-1)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q^{(i)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q^i} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}^{(i-1)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}^i := \mathcal{E}^{(i)}/\mathcal{E}^{(i-1)} & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

We fix an isomorphism $V \cong \oplus_{i=1}^s V^i$; then via this isomorphism we have $\lim_{t \to 0} \lambda_\beta(t) \cdot q = \oplus_{i=1}^s q_i$ by [12] Lemma 4.4.3.

Lemma 5.5. *For $\beta = ([\lambda], d)$ with $r(\beta) = (r_1, \dots, r_s)$ and $l(\beta) = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$ as above, we have*

$$\mathrm{Quot}^\lambda = \bigsqcup_{(P_1, \dots, P_s) \,:\, \sum_{i=1}^s P_i = P} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{Quot}(V^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P_i).$$

and

$$\mathrm{Quot}_d^\lambda \cong \bigsqcup_{\substack{(P_1, \dots, P_s) \,:\, \sum_{i=1}^s P_i = P, \\ \sum_{i=1}^s r_i P_i(m) + r_i^2 l_i = 0}} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{Quot}(V^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P_i).$$

Proof. The description of the λ-fixed locus follows from the discussion above. By definition, Quot_d^λ is the union of fixed locus components on which $\mu(-,\lambda) = -d||\lambda||$ or, equivalently,

$$\mu(-,\lambda_\beta) = -||\lambda_\beta||^2 = -\sum_{i=1}^s r_i^2 l_i,$$

since $\lambda_\beta = (d/||\lambda||)\lambda$. For a λ-fixed quotient sheaf $q : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{E}^i$, we have that $\mu(q,\lambda_\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^s r_i P(\mathcal{E}^i, m)$ by [12] Lemma 4.4.4 and so this completes the proof. □

By Theorem 4.4, for $\beta = ([\lambda], d)$ as above, we can construct the stratum S_β from the limit set Z_d^λ which is the GIT semistable set for the subgroup

$$G_\lambda \cong \left\{ (g_1,\dots,g_s) \in \prod_{i=1}^s \mathrm{GL}(V^i) : \prod_{i=1}^s \det g_i = 1 \right\}$$

acting on Quot_d^λ with respect to a modified linearisation L_β, obtained by twisting the original linearisation $L = L_{n,m}$ by the (rational) character $\chi_\beta : G_\lambda \to \mathbb{G}_m$ where

$$\chi_\beta(g_1,\dots,g_s) = \prod_{i=1}^s (\det g_i)^{r_i}.$$

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\beta = ([\lambda], d)$ with $r(\beta) = (r_1,\dots,r_s)$ and $l(\beta) = (l_1,\dots,l_s)$ as above; then*

$$Z_d^\lambda \;\cong \bigsqcup_{(P_1,\dots,P_s)} \prod_{i=1}^s \mathrm{Quot}(V^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P_i)^{\mathrm{SL}(V^i)-ss}(L_{n,m})$$

where this is a union over tuples $(P_1,\dots,P_s)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^s P_i = P$ and

$$r_i = \frac{P(m)}{P(n)} - \frac{P_i(m)}{l_i} \qquad \text{for } i = 1,\dots,s. \tag{2}$$

Proof. The centre $Z(G_\lambda) \cong \{(t_1,\dots,t_s) \in (\mathbb{G}_m)^s : \Pi_{i=1}^s t_i^{l_i} = 1\}$ acts trivially on Quot^λ and acts on the fibre of L over $q \in \mathrm{Quot}^\lambda$, lying in a component indexed by $(P_1,\dots,P_s)$, by a character

$$\chi_q : Z(G_\lambda) \to \mathbb{G}_m \quad \text{given by} \quad \chi_q(t_1,\dots,t_s) = \prod_{i=1}^s t_i^{P_i(m)}.$$

Therefore, $Z(G_\lambda)$ acts on the fibre of L_β over q by $\chi_q\chi_\beta(t_1,\dots,t_s) = \prod_{i=1}^s t_i^{P_i(m)+r_i l_i}$. By the Hilbert–Mumford criterion, q is unstable for the action of G_λ with respect to L_β unless $\chi_q\chi_\beta$ is trivial; i.e., there is a constant C such that $Cl_i = P_i(m) + r_i l_i$, for $i = 1,\dots,s$. In this case

$$CP(n) = \sum_{i=1}^s Cl_i = \sum_{i=1}^s P_i(m) + r_i l_i = P(m)$$

and we see that the conditions given at (2) are necessary for q to belong to Z_d^λ.

We suppose that $(P_1,\dots,P_s)$ is a tuple satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^s P_i = P$ and the conditions given at (2). Since G_λ is, modulo a finite group, $\Pi_{i=1}^s \mathrm{SL}(V^i) \times Z(G_\lambda)$ and $Z(G_\lambda)$ acts on both

$$\prod_{i=1}^s \mathrm{Quot}(V^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P_i)$$

and L_β trivially, the semistable locus for G_λ is equal to the semistable locus for $\Pi_{i=1}^s \mathrm{SL}(V^i)$. As $\Pi_{i=1}^s \mathrm{SL}(V^i)$-linearisations, we have that $L_\beta = L$. It then follows that

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^s \mathrm{Quot}(V^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P_i) \right)^{\Pi_{i=1}^s \mathrm{SL}(V^i)-ss} (L) = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathrm{Quot}(V^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P_i)^{\mathrm{SL}(V^i)-ss}(L)$$

(for example, see [11] Lemma 6.6) which completes the proof of the proposition. □

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 5.7. *For β as above, the scheme S_β parametrises quotients $q : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ with a filtration $0 = W^{(0)} \subset W^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset W^{(s)} = V$ such that, for $i = 1, \ldots, s$, we have*

(1) $\dim W^i = l_i$, *where* $W^i := W^{(i)}/W^{(i-1)}$,
(2) $P(n)P(\mathcal{E}^i, m) = l_i(P(m) - r_iP(n))$, *and*
(3) *the quotient sheaf $q^i : W^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}^i$ is $\mathrm{SL}(W^i)$-semistable with respect to $L_{n,m}$,*

where $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} := q(W^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n))$ and $\mathcal{E}^i := \mathcal{E}^{(i)}/\mathcal{E}^{(i-1)}$. Furthermore, $S_\beta = \mathrm{SL}(V)Y^\lambda_d$ where Y^d_λ parametrises quotients q with a filtration $W^\bullet$ as above such that $W^\bullet = V^\bullet$.

Remark 5.8. We see from the above description of the Hesselink strata that the strata are not always connected (cf. Remark 4.6) and it is natural to further decompose the limit sets Z^λ_d as

$$Z^\lambda_d = \bigsqcup_{\underline{P} \in \mathcal{C}_\beta} Z_{\underline{P}} \qquad \text{where } Z_{\underline{P}} \cong \prod_{i=1}^s \mathrm{Quot}(V^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P_i)^{\mathrm{SL}(V^i)-ss}(L_{n,m}).$$

Here $\mathcal{C}_\beta$ is the set of tuples $\underline{P} = (P_1, \ldots, P_s)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^s P_i = P$, the conditions (2) hold and $Z_{\underline{P}}$ is non-empty. For $\underline{P} = (P_1, \ldots, P_s) \in \mathcal{C}_\beta$, we note that

$$\frac{P_1(n)}{P_1(m)} > \cdots\cdots > \frac{P_s(n)}{P_s(m)}. \tag{3}$$

Then S_β is a disjoint union of the schemes $S_{\underline{P}} := \mathrm{SL}(V)p_\lambda^{-1}(Z_{\underline{P}})$ over $\underline{P} \in \mathcal{C}_\beta$ and we obtain a refinement of the Hesselink stratification:

$$\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P) = \bigsqcup_{\underline{P}} S_{\underline{P}}.$$

5.4. **HN filtrations for coherent sheaves.** In this section, we describe a canonical destabilising filtration for each coherent sheaf, known as its Harder–Narasimhan (HN) filtration [8].

Definition 5.9. A pure HN filtration of a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is a filtration by subsheaves

$$0 = \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}^{(s)} = \mathcal{F}$$

such that $\mathcal{F}_i := \mathcal{F}^{(i)}/\mathcal{F}^{(i-1)}$ are semistable and $P^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{F}_1) > P^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{F}_2) > \cdots > P^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{F}_s)$.

By [12] Theorem 1.3.4, every pure sheaf has a unique pure HN filtration. To define HN filtrations for non-pure sheaves, we need an alternative definition of semistability that does not use reduced Hilbert polynomials, as every non-pure sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ has a non-zero subsheaf whose Hilbert polynomial has degree strictly less than that of $\mathcal{E}$. For this, we use an extended notion of semistability due to Rudakov [22]. We define a partial ordering $\preccurlyeq$ on $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ by

$$P \preccurlyeq Q \iff \frac{P(n)}{P(m)} \leq \frac{Q(n)}{Q(m)} \qquad \text{for} \quad m >> n >> 0$$

and similarly define a strict partial order $\prec$ by replacing $\leq$ with $<$. This ordering allows us to compare polynomials with positive leading coefficient of different degrees in a way that polynomials of lower degree are bigger with respect to this ordering.

Remark 5.10. Rudakov formulated this preordering using the coefficients of the polynomials: for rational polynomials $P(x) = p_dx^d + \cdots + p_0$ and $Q(x) = q_ex^e + \cdots + q_0$, let

$$\Lambda(P, Q) := (\lambda_{f,f-1}, \ldots, \lambda_{f,0}, \lambda_{f-1,f-2}, \ldots, \lambda_{f-1,0}, \ldots, \lambda_{1,0}) \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_{i,j} := p_iq_j - q_ip_j$$

and $f := \max(d, e)$. We write $\Lambda(P, Q) > 0$ if the first non-zero $\lambda_{i,j}$ appearing in $\Lambda(P, Q)$ is positive. Then $P \preccurlyeq Q$ is equivalent to $\Lambda(P, Q) \geq 0$.

Definition 5.11. A sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is semistable if $P(\mathcal{E}) \preccurlyeq P(\mathcal{F})$ for all non-zero subsheaves $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F}$.

This definition of semistability implies purity, as polynomials of smaller degree are bigger with respect to $\preccurlyeq$. Moreover, for Hilbert polynomials $P(\mathcal{E})$ and $P(\mathcal{F})$ of the same degree, we have $P(\mathcal{E}) \preccurlyeq P(\mathcal{F})$ if and only if $P^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{E}) \leq P^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{F})$. Thus, a sheaf is semistable in the sense of Definition 5.11 if and only if it is semistable in the sense of Definition 5.3.

Definition 5.12. A HN filtration of a sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ is a filtration by subsheaves

$$0 = \mathcal{E}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}^{(s)} = \mathcal{E}$$

such that $\mathcal{E}_i := \mathcal{E}^{(i)}/\mathcal{E}^{(i-1)}$ are semistable and $P(\mathcal{E}_1) \succ P(\mathcal{E}_2) \succ \cdots \succ P(\mathcal{E}_s)$.

Using this definition of HN filtration, every coherent sheaf has a unique HN filtration (cf. [22], Corollary 28). We can construct the HN filtration of a sheaf from its torsion filtration and the pure HN filtrations of the subquotients in the torsion filtration as follows.

Proposition 5.13. *Let $0 \subset T^{(0)}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \cdots \subset T^{(d)}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}$ be the torsion filtration of $\mathcal{E}$ and let*

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_i^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{F}_i^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_i^{(s_i)} = T_i(\mathcal{E}) := T^{(i)}(\mathcal{E})/T^{(i-1)}(\mathcal{E}) \tag{4}$$

be the pure HN filtrations of the subquotients in the torsion filtration. Then $\mathcal{E}$ has HN filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{E}_0^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{E}_1^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_1^{(s_1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_d^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_d^{(s_d)} = \mathcal{E}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_i^{(j)}$ is the preimage of $\mathcal{F}_i^{(j)}$ under the quotient map $T^{(i)}(\mathcal{E}) \to T_i(\mathcal{E})$.

Proof. As the HN filtration is unique, it suffices to check that the subquotients are semistable with decreasing Hilbert polynomials for $\prec$. First, we note that $\mathcal{E}_i^{(0)} = T^{(i-1)}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}_{i-1}^{(s_{i-1})}$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_i^j := \mathcal{E}_i^{(j)}/\mathcal{E}_i^{(j-1)} \cong \mathcal{F}_i^{(j)}/\mathcal{F}_i^{(j-1)} =: \mathcal{F}_i^j.$$

Since (4) is the pure HN filtration of $T_i(\mathcal{E})$, we have inequalities $P^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{F}_i^1) > \cdots > P^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{F}_i^{s_i})$ and the subquotients $\mathcal{F}_i^j$ are semistable. Moreover, it follows that

$$P(\mathcal{E}_0^1) \succ P(\mathcal{E}_1^1) \succ \cdots \succ P(\mathcal{E}_1^{s_1}) \succ \cdots \succ P(\mathcal{E}_d^1) \succ \cdots \succ P(\mathcal{E}_d^{s_d}),$$

as $\deg P(\mathcal{F}_i^j) = i$ and polynomials of lower degree are bigger with respect to this ordering. □

Definition 5.14. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a sheaf with HN filtration $0 = \mathcal{E}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}^{(s)} = \mathcal{E}$; then the HN type of $\mathcal{E}$ is the tuple $\tau(\mathcal{E}) := (P(\mathcal{E}_1), \ldots, P(\mathcal{E}_s))$ where $\mathcal{E}_i := \mathcal{E}^{(i)}/\mathcal{E}^{(i-1)}$. We say $\tau = (P_1, \ldots, P_s)$ is a pure HN type if all polynomials P_i have the same degree.

5.5. **The HN stratification on the stack of coherent sheaves.** Let $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$ denote the stack of sheaves on X with Hilbert polynomial P; this is an Artin stack such that

$$\mathcal{C}oh_P(X) \cong \bigcup_n [Q_n^o/G_n] \tag{5}$$

where $G_n = \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$ and Q_n^o is the open subscheme of $\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$ consisting of quotient sheaves $q : V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $H^0(q(n))$ is an isomorphism and $H^i(\mathcal{E}(n)) = 0$ for $i > 0$ (cf. [16] Theorem 4.6.2.1).

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_P := \left\{ \tau = (P_1, \ldots, P_s) : \ P = \sum_{i=1}^s P_i \text{ and } P_1 \succ P_2 \succ \cdots \succ P_s \right\}$$

be the set of HN types of sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P. For a HN type $\tau = (P_1, \ldots, P_s)$, we define the (n,m)th Shatz polytope $\Gamma(\tau, n, m)$ to be the union of the line segments joining $x_k := (\sum_{j=1}^k P_j(m), \sum_{j=1}^k P_j(n))$ to x_{k+1} for $k = 0, \ldots, s-1$. We define a partial order $\leq$ on the set of HN types $\mathcal{H}_P$ by $\tau \leq \tau'$ if $\Gamma(\tau, n, m)$ lies above $\Gamma(\tau', n, m)$ for $m \gg n \gg 0$.

Theorem 5.15 (Shatz [23]; see also [20] Theorem 5)**.** *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of sheaves on X with Hilbert polynomial P parametrised by a scheme S; then the HN type function $S \to \mathcal{H}_P$ given by $s \mapsto \tau(\mathcal{F}_s)$ is upper semi-continuous. Therefore,*

1. *$S_{>\tau} = \{s \in S : \tau(\mathcal{F}_s) > \tau\}$ is closed in S,*
2. *$S_\tau = \{s \in S : \tau(\mathcal{F}_s) = \tau\}$ is locally closed in S,*

and, moreover, there is a finite Shatz stratification of S into disjoint subschemes S_τ such that

$$\overline{S_\tau} \subset \bigsqcup_{\tau' \geq \tau} S_{\tau'}.$$

The universal quotient sheaf $\mathcal{U}_n$ over $X \times Q_n^o$ is a family of sheaves on X with Hilbert polynomial P parametrised by Q_n^o; therefore, we have an associated Shatz stratification

$$Q_n^o = \bigsqcup_\tau Q_{n,\tau}. \tag{6}$$

As the Shatz strata $Q_{n,\tau}$ are G_n-invariant, this stratification descends to the stack quotient

$$[Q_n^o/G_n] = \bigsqcup_\tau [Q_{n,\tau}/G_n].$$

From the description (5) of $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.16. *There is a HN stratification on the stack of coherent sheaves*

$$\mathcal{C}oh_P(X) = \bigsqcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{H}_P} \mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)$$

into disjoint locally closed substacks $\mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)} \subset \bigsqcup_{\tau' \geq \tau} \mathcal{C}oh_P^{\tau'}(X)$.

Remark 5.17. If $\tau = (P)$ is the trivial HN type, then $\mathcal{C}oh_{X,P}^\tau = \mathcal{C}oh_{X,P}^{ss}$ and, for $n >> 0$,

$$\mathcal{C}oh_{X,P}^{ss} \cong [Q_n^{ss}/G_n]$$

where $Q_n^{ss} = Q_{n,(P)}$ is an open subscheme of $\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$. In fact, an analogous statement holds for all HN types (cf. Proposition 5.21).

5.6. **The Hesselink and Shatz stratifications.** In this section, we prove that every Shatz stratum for a HN type τ is contained in a Hesselink stratum of $\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$ for $n >> 0$; this generalises a corresponding result for pure HN types given in [11].

Definition 5.18. For a HN type $\tau = (P_1, \ldots, P_s) \in \mathcal{H}_P$ and natural numbers (n, m), we let $\beta_{n,m}(\tau)$ denote the conjugacy class of the rational 1-PS

$$\lambda_{\beta_{n,m}(\tau)}(t) = \mathrm{diag}\quad (\underbrace{t^{r_1}, \ldots, t^{r_1}}_{P_1(n)},\quad \ldots\quad \underbrace{t^{r_s}, \ldots, t^{r_s}}_{P_s(n)}) \qquad \text{where} \quad r_i := \tfrac{P(m)}{P(n)} - \tfrac{P_i(m)}{P_i(n)}.$$

Since τ is a HN type, we have $P_1 \succ P_2 \succ \cdots\cdots \succ P_s$ and thus, for $m >> n >> 0$,

$$\frac{P_1(n)}{P_1(m)} > \frac{P_2(n)}{P_2(m)} > \cdots\cdots > \frac{P_s(n)}{P_s(m)} \tag{7}$$

i.e., the weights r_i are decreasing.

Theorem 5.19 (see also [11])**.** *Let τ be a HN type; then, for $m >> n >> 0$, the Shatz stratum $Q_{n,\tau}$ is a closed subscheme of the Hesselink stratum $S_{\beta_{n,m}(\tau)}$ in $\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$.*

Proof. If $\tau = (P_1, \ldots, P_s)$, we take $n >> 0$ so all semistable sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P_i are n-regular for $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Then every sheaf with HN type τ is n-regular, as it admits a filtration whose successive quotients are n-regular, and so can be parametrised by a point in the Shatz stratum $Q_{n,\tau}$.

Let $V_n^i := k^{P_i(n)}$ and let $Q_n^i \subset \mathrm{Quot}(V_n^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P_i)$ be the open subscheme consisting of quotients $q : V_n^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}^i$ such that $H^0(q(n))$ is an isomorphism. Let $(Q_n^i)^{\mathrm{pure}}$ be the open subscheme of Q_n^i parametrising pure sheaves and let R_n^i be the closure of this subscheme in the Quot scheme. By [24] Theorem 1.19, we can take $m >> n$ so that the GIT semistable set for $\mathrm{SL}(V_n^i)$ acting on R_n^i with respect to the linearisation $L_{n,m}$ is the lowest Shatz stratum in Q_n^i parametrising semistable sheaves; that is,

$$(R_n^i)^{\mathrm{SL}(V_n^i)-ss}(L_{n,m}) = (Q_n^i)^{ss} := (Q_n^i)_{(P_i)}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Furthermore, we assume $m >> n >> 0$, so that the inequalities (7) hold.

As described above, the index $\beta = \beta_{n,m}(\tau)$ determines a filtration $0 = V_n^{(0)} \subset \cdots \subset V_n^{(s)} = V_n$ where $V_n^i := V_n^{(i)}/V_n^{(i-1)} = k^{P_i(n)}$. By construction, the conditions (2) hold for $r(\beta)$ and $l(\beta)$; hence

$$Z_{\tau,n,m} := \prod_{i=1}^{s} (Q_n^i)^{ss} \subset \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{Quot}(V_n^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P_i)^{\mathrm{SL}(V_n^i)-ss}(L_{n,m}) \subset Z_d^\lambda.$$

Both inclusions are closed inclusions: the first, as R_n^i is closed in $\mathrm{Quot}(V_n^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P_i)$. Therefore, $Y_{\tau,n,m} := p_\lambda^{-1}(Z_{\tau,n,m}) \subset Y_d^\lambda$ and $\mathrm{SL}(V_n)Y_{\tau,n,m} \subset S_\beta$ are both closed subschemes.

To complete the proof, we show that $Q_{n,\tau} = \mathrm{SL}(V_n)Y_{\tau,n,m}$. By construction, $Y_{\tau,n,m}$ consists of quotient sheaves $q : V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ with a filtration

$$0 \subset \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}^{(s)} = \mathcal{F} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(i)} := q(V_n^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n))$$

whose successive quotients $\mathcal{F}^{(i)}/\mathcal{F}^{(i-1)}$ are semistable with Hilbert polynomial P_i; that is, $\mathcal{F}$ has HN type τ and thus $\mathrm{SL}(V_n^i)Y_{\tau,n,m} \subset Q_{n,\tau}$. Conversely, for $q : V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ in $Q_{n,\tau}$ with HN filtration given by

$$0 = \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}^{(s)} = \mathcal{F},$$

we can choose $g \in \mathrm{SL}(V_n)$ that sends the filtration $V_n^{(i)}$ to $W_n^{(i)} := H^0(q(n))^{-1}(H^0(\mathcal{F}^{(i)}(n)))$; then $g \cdot q \in Y_{\tau,n,m}$ and this finishes the proof. □

Corollary 5.20. *Let τ be a HN type for sheaves on X with Hilbert polynomial P. For $m >> n >> 0$, all sheaves with HN type τ are n-regular and*

$$Q_{n,\tau} = \mathrm{SL}(V_n)Y_{\tau,n,m} \cong \mathrm{SL}(V_n) \times_{F_{n,\tau}} Y_{\tau,n,m}$$

where

(1) *$F_{n,\tau} = P(\lambda)$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(V_n)$,*
(2) *$Y_{\tau,n,m} = p_\lambda^{-1}(Z_{\tau,n,m})$, and*
(3) *$Z_{\tau,n,m} := \prod_{i=1}^{s} (Q_n^i)^{ss}$,*

where the subschemes $(Q_n^i)^{ss} \subset \mathrm{Quot}(V_n^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P_i)$ are as above.

5.7. **The structure of the HN strata.** We now describe the HN strata $\mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)$.

Proposition 5.21. *Let τ be a HN type. Then, for $n >> 0$, we have isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X) \cong [Q_{n,\tau}/G_n] \cong [Y_{\tau,n,m}/F_{n,\tau}]$$

where $Q_{n,\tau}$ and $Y_{\tau,n,m}$ are locally closed subschemes of $\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$ and $F_{n,\tau}$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G_n = \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$.

Proof. By Corollary 5.20, there exists $n >> 0$, so all sheaves with HN type τ are n-regular and so can be parametrised by a quotient sheaf in the Shatz stratum $Q_{n,\tau} \subset Q_n^o$.

The restriction $\mathcal{U}_{n,\tau}$ of the universal family to $Q_{n,\tau} \times X$ has the local universal property for families of sheaves on X of HN type τ by our assumption on n. Therefore, we obtain a map

$$Q_{n,\tau} \to \mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)$$

that is an atlas for $\mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)$. Two morphisms $f_i : S \to Q_{n,\tau}$ define isomorphic families of sheaves of HN type τ if and only if they are related by a morphism $\varphi : S \to \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$; i.e. $f_1(s) = \varphi(s) \cdot f_2(s)$ for all $s \in S$. Hence, the above morphism descends to an isomorphism $[Q_{n,\tau}/G_n] \to \mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)$. The final isomorphism follows from Corollary 5.20. □

5.8. **Stratifications on the stack.** It is natural to expect an agreement between the Hesselink and Shatz stratifications, as we saw in the case of quiver representations. Further evidence that supports such an expectation comes from the agreement between the Yang–Mills and HN stratification in gauge theory (cf. [2, 5]). However, we only have a containment result: the τ-Shatz stratum is contained in a Hesselink stratum for m and n sufficiently large. In this section, we explain why these stratifications do not agree and what should be done to rectify this. First we note that multiple HN strata can be contained in a single Hesselink stratum; the proof follows from Definition 5.18.

Lemma 5.22. *Let $\tau = (P_1, \dots, P_s)$ and $\tau' = (P'_1, \dots, P'_t)$ be HN types; then*

$$\beta_{n,m}(\tau) = \beta_{n,m}(\tau')$$

if and only if $s = t$ and, for $i = 1, \dots, s$, we have that $P_i(n) = P'_i(n)$ and $P_i(m) = P'_i(m)$.

Remark 5.23. We note that if $\dim X \leq 1$, then the assignment $\tau \mapsto \beta_{n,m}(\tau)$ is injective for any $m > n$, as the Hilbert polynomial P of any sheaf on X has at most degree 1 and so P is uniquely determined by the pair $(P(n), P(m))$.

For distinct HN types $\tau \neq \tau'$, we note that

$$\beta_{n,m}(\tau) \neq \beta_{n,m}(\tau') \quad \text{for } m >> n >> 0.$$

However, as there are infinite many HN types, we cannot pick $m >> n >> 0$ so that the assignment $\tau \mapsto \beta_{n,m}(\tau)$ is injective for all HN types. We can overcome this problem by refining the Hesselink strata as suggested in Remark 5.8; however, even after such a refinement, the stratifications do not coincide (cf. Proposition 5.24 and the later comments).

The deeper reason underlying the failure of these stratifications to coincide is that the Quot scheme is only a truncated parameter space for sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P, in the sense that it does not parametrise all sheaves on X with Hilbert polynomial P. However, the family of all sheaves on X with Hilbert polynomial P is unbounded and so there is no scheme that parametrises all such sheaves. In fact, we really need to consider the quot schemes $\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$ for all n simultaneously.

In the case of vector bundles over a smooth projective curve, Bifet, Ghione and Letizia [4] constructed an ind-variety of matrix divisors and used a HN stratification on this ind-variety to derive inductive formulae for the Betti numbers. Therefore, one may hope to analogously construct an ind-quot scheme. Unfortunately, in our setting, there are no natural maps between these quot schemes which allow us to construct such an ind-quot scheme.

The correct space to compare the Hesselink and HN stratifications is on the stack $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$. By Corollary 5.16, there is an infinite HN stratification

$$\mathcal{C}oh_P(X) = \bigsqcup_{\tau} \mathcal{C}oh^{\tau}_P(X).$$

We have an increasing open cover of $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$ by the substacks $\mathcal{C}oh_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X)$ of n-regular sheaves:

$$\mathcal{C}oh_P(X) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{C}oh_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X)$$

such that $\mathcal{C}oh_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X) \cong [Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}}/G_n]$ where $Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}}$ is the open subscheme of Q_n^o consisting of quotient sheaves $q : V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is n-regular. In the remainder of this section, by using the Hesselink stratification on each Quot scheme $\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$, we construct a Hesselink stratification on $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$.

For each n, we fix $m_n >> n$ so the $\mathrm{SL}(V_n)$-linearisation L_{n,m_n} on $\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$ is ample. Then we have an associated Hesselink stratification

$$\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P) = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_n} S^n_{\beta}$$

which we refine, as in Remark 5.8, by tuples $\underline{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_s)$ of Hilbert polynomials

$$\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P) = \bigsqcup_{\underline{P} \in \mathcal{C}_n} S^n_{\underline{P}}.$$

Let $S^{n-\mathrm{reg}}_{\underline{P}}$ be the fibre product of $Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}}$ and $S^n_{\underline{P}}$ in this Quot scheme. We have a decomposition

$$Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}} = \bigsqcup_{\underline{P} \in \mathcal{C}_n} S^{n-\mathrm{reg}}_{\underline{P}}$$

into finitely many locally closed subschemes. Since $Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}}$ is an open, rather than a closed, subscheme of the Quot scheme, the strata $S^{n-\mathrm{reg}}_{\underline{P}}$ do not admit descriptions as in Theorem 4.4. However, as each stratum is G_n-invariant, we obtain an induced decomposition

$$\mathcal{C}oh^{n-\mathrm{reg}}_P(X) = \bigsqcup_{\underline{P}\in\mathcal{C}_n} \mathcal{S}^n_{\underline{P}} \qquad \text{where } \mathcal{S}^n_{\underline{P}} \cong [S^{n-\mathrm{reg}}_{\underline{P}}/G_n]$$

into finitely many disjoint locally closed substacks.

Proposition 5.24. *Let $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_P$ be a HN type. Then, for $n >> 0$ and for $n' >> n$, we have*

$$\mathcal{C}oh^{\tau}_P(X) \subset \mathcal{S}^n_\tau \subset \bigsqcup_{\tau'\in\mathcal{B}^n_\tau} \mathcal{C}oh^{\tau'}_P(X) \subset \bigsqcup_{\tau'\in\mathcal{B}^n_\tau} \mathcal{S}^{n'}_{\tau'}$$

where $\mathcal{B}^n_\tau$ is a finite set of HN types $\tau' \geq \tau$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.19, for $n >> 0$, the Shatz stratum indexed by τ is contained in the Hesselink stratum indexed by $\beta_{n,m_n}(\tau)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}oh^{\tau}_P(X)$ is contained in the refined Hesselink stratum $\mathcal{S}^n_\tau$ indexed by the tuple of polynomials $\tau = (P_1, \dots, P_s)$. For the second inclusion, we note that every quotient sheaf $q : V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ in the refined Hesselink stratum $S^n_\tau \subset \mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P)$ has a filtration

$$0 \subset \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{E}^{(2)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}^{(s)} = \mathcal{E}$$

such that $P(\mathcal{E}^{(i)}/\mathcal{E}^{(i-1)}) = P_i$; that is $\mathcal{E}$ has HN type greater than or equal to τ and

$$\mathcal{S}^n_\tau \subset \bigsqcup_{\tau'\geq\tau} \mathcal{C}oh^{\tau'}_P(X).$$

As $S^{n-\mathrm{reg}}_\tau$ has a finite Shatz stratification by HN types, we can take a finite index set $\mathcal{B}^n_\tau$ here. The final inclusion follows by applying Theorem 5.19 to the finite set of HN types $\mathcal{B}^n_\tau$. □

It is not the case that for $n' >> n$, the n'th Hesselink stratification refines the nth Hesselink stratification. For example, we could have two sheaves $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$ which belong to distinct strata $\mathcal{S}^n_{\underline{P}}$ and $\mathcal{S}^n_{\underline{P}'}$, but both have the same HN type. Moreover, we could have a stratum $\mathcal{S}^n_{\underline{P}}$ indexed by a tuple of polynomials $\underline{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_s)$ which is not a HN type: i.e. we have

$$\frac{P_1(n)}{P_1(m_n)} > \cdots > \frac{P_s(n)}{P_s(m_n)}$$

without $P_1 \succ \cdots \succ P_s$; although, then $\underline{P}$ will not index a n'th Hesselink stratum for $n' >> n$.

Lemma 5.25. *A tuple of polynomials $\underline{P}$ is a surviving Hesselink index (i.e. $\mathcal{S}^n_{\underline{P}}$ is non-empty for $n >> 0$) if and only if it is a HN type of a sheaf on X with Hilbert polynomial P.*

We want to define an infinite Hesselink stratification on $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$ such that the infinite strata $\mathcal{H}ess_\tau$ are indexed by the surviving Hesselink indices τ. Furthermore, we want this stratification to be a limit over n of the finite Hesselink stratifications on the stack of n-regular sheaves; more precisely, we want a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ to be represented by a point of $\mathcal{H}ess_\tau$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is represented by a point of $\mathcal{S}^n_\tau$ for $n >> 0$.

From this intuitive picture concerning the points we would like the infinite Hesselink strata to parametrise, it is not clear whether these infinite Hesselink strata $\mathcal{H}ess_\tau$ are locally closed substacks of $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$. To give a more concrete definition, we define the infinite Hesselink strata as an inductive limit of stacks. This is slightly delicate as there is no reason for the finite Hesselink strata S^n_τ to stabilise for large n, or even to be comparable. For example, we know that there are some sheaves in S^n_τ that do not belong to $S^{n'}_\tau$ for any $n' >> n$; however, as n increases there are more and more sheaves that become n-regular and so can appear in each stratum. To circumvent this difficulty, we restrict our attention to sufficiently large substacks $\mathcal{R}^n_\tau \subset \mathcal{S}^n_\tau$ that form a descending chain.

Definition 5.26. For each surviving Hesselink index τ, we fix a natural number N_τ such that $\mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X) \subset \mathcal{S}_\tau^n$ for all $n \geq N_\tau$. Then let $\mathcal{R}_\tau^{N_\tau} := \mathcal{S}_\tau^{N_\tau}$ and

$$\mathcal{R}_\tau^n := \mathcal{R}_\tau^{n-1} \times_{\mathcal{C}oh_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X)} \mathcal{S}_\tau^n$$

for $n > N_\tau$. Then we have a descending chain $\cdots\cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\tau^n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\tau^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \cdots\cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\tau^{N_\tau}$. We let $\mathcal{H}ess_\tau$ be the inductive limit of this chain and call this the infinite Hesselink stratum for τ.

Proposition 5.27. *Let τ be a surviving Hesselink index; then $\mathcal{R}_\tau^{n'} = \mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)$ for $n' >> 0$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}ess_\tau = \mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.24, we have that

$$\mathcal{S}_\tau^{N_\tau} \subset \bigsqcup_{\tau' \in \mathcal{B}_\tau} \mathcal{C}oh_P^{\tau'}(X)$$

where the index set $\mathcal{B}_\tau$ is finite and $\mathcal{C}oh_P^{\tau'}(X) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\tau'}^{n'}$ for all $\tau' \in \mathcal{B}_\tau$ and $n' >> N_\tau$. By construction, $\mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)$ is a substack of $\mathcal{R}_\tau^n$ and $\mathcal{R}_\tau^n$ is a substack of $\mathcal{S}_\tau^n$ for $n \geq N$. We claim that, $\mathcal{R}_\tau^{n'}$ is a substack of $\mathcal{C}oh_\tau^{n'}$ for $n' >> N_\tau$ and, therefore, $\mathcal{R}_\tau^{n'} = \mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)$ for $n' >> N_\tau$. To prove the claim, we recall that

$$\mathcal{R}_\tau^{n'} \subset \mathcal{S}_\tau^{N_\tau} \subset \bigsqcup_{\tau' \in \mathcal{B}_\tau} \mathcal{C}oh_P^{\tau'}(X)$$

and $\mathcal{C}oh_P^{\tau'}(X) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\tau'}^{n'}$, for $n' >> N_\tau$ and $\tau' \in \mathcal{B}_\tau$. If $\mathcal{R}_\tau^{n'}$ meets $\mathcal{C}oh_P^{\tau'}(X)$ for some $\tau' \neq \tau$, then this implies that $\mathcal{S}_\tau^{n'}$ meets $\mathcal{S}_{\tau'}^{n'}$, which contradicts the disjointness of these finite Hesselink strata. Therefore, $\mathcal{R}_\tau^{n'}$ is a substack of $\mathcal{C}oh_\tau^{n'}$ for $n' >> N_\tau$ and this completes the proof. □

Remark 5.28. It is easy to check that a sheaf is represented by a point of $\mathcal{H}ess_\tau$ if and only if it is represented by a point of $\mathcal{S}_\tau^n$ for $n >> 0$.

Corollary 5.29. *The infinite Hesselink stratification on the stack $\mathcal{C}oh_P(X)$*

$$\mathcal{C}oh_P(X) = \bigsqcup_\tau \mathcal{H}ess_\tau$$

coincides with the stratification by Harder–Narasimhan types.

6. A functorial point of view

In [1], Álvarez-Cónsul and King give a functorial construction of the moduli space of semistable sheaves on $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$, using a functor

$$\Phi_{n,m} : \mathbf{Coh}(X) \to \mathbf{Reps}(K_{n,m})$$

from the category of coherent sheaves on X to the category of representations of a Kronecker quiver $K_{n,m}$. They prove that, for $m >> n >> 0$, this functor embeds the subcategory of semistable sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P into a subcategory of $\theta_{n,m}(P)$-semistable quiver representations of dimension $d_{n,m}(P)$ and construct the moduli space of semistable sheaves on X with Hilbert polynomial P by using King's construction of the moduli spaces of quiver representations. In this section, we consider an associated morphism of stacks and describe the relationship between the Hesselink and HN strata for sheaves and quivers.

6.1. **Overview of the construction of the functor.** Let X be a projective scheme of finite type over k with very ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ and let $\mathbf{Coh}(X)$ denote the category of coherent sheaves on X. For natural numbers $m > n$, we let $K_{n,m}$ be a Kronecker quiver with vertex set $V := \{n, m\}$ and $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m-n))$ arrows from n to m:

$$K_{n,m} = \begin{pmatrix} & \longrightarrow & \\ n & \vdots & m \\ \bullet & H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m-n)) & \bullet \\ & \vdots & \\ & \longrightarrow & \end{pmatrix}.$$

For natural numbers $m > n$, we consider the functor

$$\Phi_{n,m} := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(-n) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-m), -) : \mathbf{Coh}(X) \to \mathbf{Reps}(K_{n,m})$$

that sends a sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ to the representation $W_{\mathcal{E}}$ of $K_{n,m}$ where

$$W_{\mathcal{E},n} := H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) \qquad\qquad W_{\mathcal{E},m} := H^0(\mathcal{E}(m))$$

and the evaluation map $H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m-n)) \to H^0(\mathcal{E}(m))$ gives the arrows.

We fix a Hilbert polynomial P and let $\mathbf{Coh}_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X)$ be the subcategory of $\mathbf{Coh}(X)$ consisting of n-regular sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P. Then the image of $\Phi_{n,m}$ restricted to $\mathbf{Coh}_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X)$ is contained in the subcategory of quiver representations of dimension vector $d_{n,m}(P) = (P(n), P(m))$. Furthermore, by [1] Theorem 3.4, if $\mathcal{O}_X(m-n)$ is regular, then the functor

$$\Phi_{n,m} : \mathbf{Coh}_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X) \to \mathbf{Reps}_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m})$$

is fully faithful. Let us consider the stability parameter $\theta_{n,m}(P) := (-P(m), P(n))$ for representations of $K_{n,m}$ of dimension $d_{n,m}(P)$.

Theorem 6.1 ([1], Theorem 5.10)**.** *For $m >> n >> 0$, depending on X and P, a sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ with Hilbert polynomial P is semistable if and only if it is pure, n-regular and the quiver representation $\Phi_{n,m}(\mathcal{E})$ is $\theta_{n,m}(P)$-semistable.*

We briefly describe how to pick $m >> n >> 0$ as required for this theorem to hold; for further details, we refer to the reader to the conditions (C1) - (C5) stated in [1] §5.1. First, we take n so all semistable sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P are n-regular and the Le Potier–Simpson estimates hold. Then we choose m so $\mathcal{O}_X(m-n)$ is regular and, for all n-regular sheaves $\mathcal{E}$ and vector subspaces $V' \subset H^0(\mathcal{E}(n))$, the subsheaf $\mathcal{E}'$ generated by V' under the evaluation map $H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-n) \to \mathcal{E}$ is m-regular. Finally, we take m is sufficiently large so a finite list of polynomial inequalities can be determined by evaluation at m (see (C5) in [1]).

We can alternatively consider the functor $\Phi_{n,m}$ as a morphism of stacks. We recall we have isomorphisms of stacks

$$\mathcal{C}oh_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X) \cong [Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}}/G_n],$$

where $G_n = \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$, and

$$\mathcal{R}\mathrm{eps}_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m}) \cong [\mathrm{Rep}_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m})/\overline{G}_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m})].$$

Let $\mathcal{U}_n$ be the universal quotient sheaf over $Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}} \times X$ and $p : Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}} \times X \to Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}}$ be the projection map. By definition of $Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}}$, we have that $R^i p_*(\mathcal{U}_n(n)) = 0$ for $i > 0$; therefore, by the semi-continuity theorem, $p_*(\mathcal{U}_n(n))$ is a vector bundle over $Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}}$ of rank $P(n)$ and similarly $p_*(\mathcal{U}_n(m))$ is a rank $P(m)$ vector bundle. Hence, by using the evaluation map, we have obtain a family of representations of $K_{n,m}$ of dimension $d_{n,m}(P)$ parametrised by $Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}}$ that induces a morphism

$$Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}} \to \mathcal{R}\mathrm{eps}_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m}).$$

As this morphism is G_n-invariant, it descends to a morphism

$$\Phi_{n,m} : \mathcal{C}oh_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X) \to \mathcal{R}\mathrm{eps}_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m})$$

where we continue to use the notation $\Phi_{n,m}$ to mean the morphism of stacks.

6.2. **Image of the Hesselink strata.** In this section, we study the image of the Hesselink strata under the map

$$\Phi_{n,m} : \mathcal{C}oh_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X) \to \mathcal{R}\mathrm{eps}_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m}).$$

Let

$$\mathrm{Quot}(V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n), P) = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{n,m}} S_\beta$$

be the Hesselink stratification associated to the $\mathrm{SL}(V_n)$-action on this Quot scheme with respect to $L_{n,m}$ as we described in §5.3. As in §5.8, we consider the induced stratification on the stack of n-regular sheaves

$$\mathcal{C}oh_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X) = \bigsqcup_\beta \mathcal{S}_\beta^{n,m} \tag{8}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\beta^{n,m} = [S_\beta^{n-\mathrm{reg}}/G_n]$ and $S_\beta^{n-\mathrm{reg}}$ is the fibre product of $Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}}$ and S_β in this Quot scheme.

We recall that the unstable Hesselink strata are indexed by conjugacy classes of rational 1-PSs λ_β of $\mathrm{SL}(V_n)$. Equivalently, the index β is given by a collection of strictly decreasing rational weights $r(\beta) = (r_1, \dots, r_s)$ and multiplicities $l(\beta) = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^s l_i = P(n)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^s r_i l_i = 0$. More precisely, we recall that the rational 1-PS associated to $r(\beta)$ and $l(\beta)$ is

$$\lambda_\beta(t) = \mathrm{diag}(t^{r_1}, \dots, t^{r_1}, \dots, t^{r_s}, \dots, t^{r_s})$$

where r_i appears l_i times.

To define a Hesselink stratification on the stack of representations of $K_{n,m}$ of dimension vector $d_{n,m}(P)$, we need to choose a parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ which defines a norm $||-||_\alpha$. We choose $\alpha = \alpha_{n,m}(P) := (P(m), P(n))$ due to the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\theta = \theta_{n,m}(P)$ and $\alpha = \alpha_{n,m}(P)$. Then, for sheaves $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, we have*

$$\frac{\theta(W_\mathcal{E})}{\alpha(W_\mathcal{E})} \geq \frac{\theta(W_\mathcal{F})}{\alpha(W_\mathcal{F})} \iff \frac{H^0(\mathcal{E}(n))}{H^0(\mathcal{E}(m))} \leq \frac{H^0(\mathcal{F}(n))}{H^0(\mathcal{F}(m))}.$$

The same statement holds if we replace these inequalities with strict inequalities.

Proof. By definition of these parameters, we have

$$\frac{\theta(W_\mathcal{E})}{\alpha(W_\mathcal{E})} := \frac{-P(m)H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) + P(n)H^0(\mathcal{E}(m))}{P(m)H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) + P(n)H^0(\mathcal{E}(m))} = 1 - \frac{2P(m)H^0(\mathcal{E}(n))}{P(m)H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)) + P(n)H^0(\mathcal{E}(m))}.$$

From this, it is easy to check the desired equivalences of inequalities. □

By Theorem 3.8, we can equivalently view the Hesselink stratification (with respect to θ and α) as a stratification by HN types:

$$\mathcal{R}ep_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m}) = \bigsqcup_\gamma \mathcal{R}\mathrm{eps}^\gamma_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m}).$$

Definition 6.3. For an index β of the Hesselink stratification (8) on the stack of n-regular sheaves, we let $\gamma(\beta) := (d_1(\beta), \dots, d_s(\beta))$ where

$$d_i(\beta) = \left(l_i, l_i\frac{P(m)}{P(n)} - l_i r_i\right)$$

and $r(\beta) = (r_1, \dots, r_s)$ and $l(\beta) = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$.

Lemma 6.4. *Let β be an index for the Hesselink stratification of $Q^{n-\mathrm{reg}}$; then $\gamma(\beta)$ is a HN type for a representation of $K_{n,m}$ of dimension $d_{n,m}$ with respect to θ and α.*

Proof. Let $r(\beta) = (r_1, \dots, r_s)$ and $l(\beta) = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$ be as above; then $r_1 > \cdots > r_s$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^s l_i = \dim V_n = P(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^s r_i l_i = 0.$$

Let $\gamma(\beta) := (d_1(\beta), \dots, d_s(\beta))$ be as above; then

$$\sum_{i=1}^s d_i(\beta) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n l_i, \sum_{i=1}^n l_i\frac{P(m)}{P(n)} - l_i r_i\right) = (P(n), P(m)).$$

Since $r_1 > \cdots > r_s$, it follows that

$$\frac{\theta(d_1(\beta))}{\alpha(d_1(\beta))} < \frac{\theta(d_2(\beta))}{\alpha(d_2(\beta))} < \cdots\cdots < \frac{\theta(d_s(\beta))}{\alpha(d_s(\beta))}.$$

To complete the proof, we need to verify that

$$d_i(\beta) = \left(l_i, l_i \frac{P(m)}{P(n)} - l_i r_i \right) \in \mathbb{N}^2.$$

The first number l_i is a multiplicity and so is a natural number, but the second number is a priori only rational. As β is an index for the Hesselink stratification, it indexes a non-empty stratum S_β and, as this stratum is constructed from its associated limit set Z_d^λ, by Theorem 4.4, it follows that this limit set must also be non-empty. Hence this limit set contains a quotient sheaf $q : V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \to \oplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{E}_i$ such that, by Proposition 5.6, for $i = 1, \dots, s$, we have

$$P(\mathcal{E}_i, m) = l_i \frac{P(m)}{P(n)} - l_i r_i.$$

Then, as $P(\mathcal{E}_i, m) \in \mathbb{N}$, this completes the proof. □

Proposition 6.5. *For a Hesselink index β, we have*

$$\Phi_{n,m}\left(\mathcal{S}_\beta^{n,m}\right) \subset \bigsqcup_{\gamma \geq \gamma(\beta)} \mathcal{R}eps^\gamma_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m}).$$

Proof. Let $q : V_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be a quotient sheaf in $S_\beta^{n-\mathrm{reg}}$ and let $r(\beta) = (r_1, \dots, r_s)$ and $l(\beta) = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$ be the associated rational weights and multiplicities; thus, $r_1 > \cdots > r_s$ and

$$\lambda_\beta(t) = \mathrm{diag}(t^{r_1}, \dots, t^{r_1}, \dots, t^{r_s}, \dots, t^{r_s})$$

where r_i appears l_i times. If λ is the unique integral primitive 1-PS associated to λ_β, then $\beta = ([\lambda], d)$ where $d = ||\lambda_\beta||$. The 1-PS λ induces a filtration $0 = V_n^{(0)} \subset V_n^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset V_n^{(s)} = V_n$ such that the successive quotients V_n^i have dimension l_i.

By Corollary 5.7, there exists $g \in \mathrm{SL}(V_n)$ such that we have a filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{E}^{(0)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}^{(i)} := g \cdot q(V_n^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n)) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}^{(s)} = \mathcal{E}$$

where the Hilbert polynomials of $\mathcal{E}^i := \mathcal{E}^{(i)}/\mathcal{E}^{(i-1)}$ satisfy

$$P(n)P(\mathcal{E}_i, m) = l_i(P(m) - r_i P(n)) \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Let $W^{(i)} := W_{\mathcal{E}^{(i)}}$ be the quiver representation associated to $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$; then we have a filtration

$$0 = W^{(0)} \subset W^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset W^{(s)} = W := W_{\mathcal{E}} \tag{9}$$

with $\dim W^{(i)} := (\dim H^0(\mathcal{E}^{(i)}(n)), \dim H^0(\mathcal{E}^{(i)}(m))) = (\dim V_n^{(i)}, P(\mathcal{E}^{(i)}, m))$, due to the fact that $H^0(q(n))$ is an isomorphism and $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$ is m-regular. Let $W_i := W^{(i)}/W^{(i-1)}$; then

$$\dim W_i = (\dim V_n^i, P(\mathcal{E}_i, m)) = \left(l_i, l_i \frac{P(m)}{P(n)} - l_i r_i \right).$$

As we have a filtration (9) of W whose successive quotients have dimension vectors specified by $\gamma(\beta)$, it follows that $W_{\mathcal{E}}$ has HN type greater than or equal to $\gamma(\beta)$. □

In the above proof, we note that the quiver representation W_i is only isomorphic to $W_{\mathcal{E}_i}$ if $H^1(\mathcal{E}^{(i-1)}(n)) = 0$. In general, this is not the case, but it is always the case that W_i is a subrepresentation of $W_{\mathcal{E}_i}$, as $W_{i,n} \subset H^0(\mathcal{E}_i(n))$ and $W_{i,m} \cong H^0(\mathcal{E}_i(m))$. In particular, it was not possible to use GIT semistability properties of the quotient sheaves $q_i : V_n^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n) \to \mathcal{E}_i$ to deduce (θ, α)-semistability of W_i (that is, to show that (9) is the HN filtration of W). It is not clear to the author if such a result should hold. A more natural way to state the above result is the following.

Corollary 6.6. *For a Hesselink index β, we have*

$$\Phi_{n,m}\left(\bigsqcup_{\beta' \geq \beta} \mathcal{S}_{\beta'}^n \right) \subset \bigsqcup_{\gamma' \geq \gamma(\beta)} \mathcal{R}eps^{\gamma'}_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m}).$$

6.3. **Image of the HN strata.** In this section, we study the image of the HN strata $\mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)$ under the map

$$\Phi_{n,m} : \mathcal{C}oh_P^{n-\mathrm{reg}}(X) \to \mathcal{R}\mathrm{eps}_{d_{n,m}(P)}(K_{n,m})$$

for n and m sufficiently large (depending on τ). In fact, we show that a HN stratum for sheaves is mapped to a HN stratum for quiver representations. Let $\theta = \theta_{n,m}(P) := (-P(m), P(n))$ and $\alpha = \alpha_{n,m}(P) := (P(m), P(n))$ be as above.

Definition 6.7. For a HN type $\tau = (P_1, \dots, P_s) \in \mathcal{H}_P$ of a sheaf and natural numbers (n, m), we let

$$\gamma_{n,m}(\tau) := (d_{n,m}(P_1), \dots, d_{n,m}(P_s))$$

where $d_{n,m}(P_i) = (P_i(n), P_i(m))$.

As τ is a HN type of sheaves, we have that $P_1 \succ P_2 \succ \cdots\cdots \succ P_s$; thus,

$$\frac{P_1(n)}{P_1(m)} > \frac{P_2(n)}{P_2(m)} > \cdots\cdots > \frac{P_s(n)}{P_s(m)} \qquad \text{for } m >> n >> 0. \tag{10}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 6.2, for $m >> n >> 0$, we have

$$\frac{\theta(d_{n,m}(P_1))}{\alpha(d_{n,m}(P_1))} < \frac{\theta(d_{n,m}(P_2))}{\alpha(d_{n,m}(P_2))} < \cdots\cdots < \frac{\theta(d_{n,m}(P_s))}{\alpha(d_{n,m}(P_s))};$$

i.e., $\gamma_{n,m}(\tau)$ is a HN type for representations of $K_{n,m}$ of dimension $d_{n,m}(P)$ for $m >> n >> 0$.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $\tau = (P_1, \dots, P_s) \in \mathcal{H}_P$ be a HN type. Then, for $m >> n >> 0$,*

$$\Phi_{n,m}\left(\mathcal{C}oh_P^\tau(X)\right) \subset \mathcal{R}eps_{d_{n,m}(P)}^{\gamma_{n,m}(\tau)}(K_{n,m}).$$

Proof. We take $m >> n >> 0$ as needed for Theorem 6.1 for the Hilbert polynomials $P_1, \dots, P_s$. Furthermore, we assume that m and n are sufficiently large so the inequalities (10) hold.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a sheaf on X of HN type τ and HN filtration given by

$$0 = \mathcal{E}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}^{(s)} = \mathcal{E}. \tag{11}$$

Let $W_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $W^{(i)} := W_{\mathcal{E}^{(i)}}$ be the associated quiver representations; then we claim that the induced filtration

$$0 = W^{(0)} \subset W^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset W^{(s)} = W_{\mathcal{E}} \tag{12}$$

is the HN filtration of $W_{\mathcal{E}}$ with respect to (θ, α) and, moreover, that $W_{\mathcal{E}}$ has HN type $\gamma_{n,m}(\tau)$. Let $\mathcal{E}_i$ and W_i denote the successive subquotients in the above filtrations. Our assumptions on n imply that each $\mathcal{E}_i$ is n-regular and so, by induction, each $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$ is n-regular. Therefore, we have exact sequences

$$0 \to H^0(\mathcal{E}^{(i-1)}(n)) \to H^0(\mathcal{E}^{(i)}(n)) \to H^0(\mathcal{E}_i(n)) \to 0$$

that give isomorphisms $W_{\mathcal{E}_i} \cong W_i$. By Theorem 6.1, as $\mathcal{E}_i$ is semistable and n-regular with Hilbert polynomial P_i, the quiver representation W_i is $\theta_i := \theta_{n,m}(P_i)$-semistable. For a subrepresentation $W' \subset W_i$, we note that

$$\theta_i(W') \geq 0 \iff P_i(n) \dim W'_{v_m} \geq P_i(m) \dim W'_{v_n} \iff \frac{\theta(W')}{\alpha(W')} \geq \frac{\theta(W_i)}{\alpha(W_i)}.$$

Therefore, θ_i-semistability of W_i implies (θ, α)-semistability of W_i. To finish the proof of the claim, i.e. to prove that (12) is the HN filtration of $W_{\mathcal{E}}$, it suffices to check that

$$\frac{\theta(W_1)}{\alpha(W_1)} < \frac{\theta(W_2)}{\alpha(W_2)} < \cdots\cdots < \frac{\theta(W_s)}{\alpha(W_s)}$$

or, equivalently, by Lemma 6.2, that

$$\frac{H^0(\mathcal{E}_1(n))}{H^0(\mathcal{E}_1(m))} > \frac{H^0(\mathcal{E}_2(n))}{H^0(\mathcal{E}_2(m))} > \cdots\cdots > \frac{H^0(\mathcal{E}_s(n))}{H^0(\mathcal{E}_s(m))}.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_i$ is n-regular, we have that $H^0(\mathcal{E}_i(n)) = P_i(n)$ and $H^0(\mathcal{E}_i(m)) = P_i(m)$; thus, the above inequalities are equivalent to (10). Moreover, this shows that $W_{\mathcal{E}}$ has HN type $\gamma_{n,m}(\tau)$. □

Remark 6.9. The assignment $\tau \mapsto \gamma_{n,m}(\tau)$ is not injective for exactly the same reason as mentioned in §5.8. In fact, more generally, $P \mapsto d_{n,m}(P)$ is not injective, unless $\dim X \leq 1$.

6.4. **Adding more vertices.** To determine a polynomial $P(x)$ in one variable of degree at most d, it suffices to know the value P takes at $d+1$ different values of x. In this final section, by using this observation, we generalise the construction of Álvarez-Cónsul and King by adding more vertices so that the map $\tau \mapsto \gamma(\tau)$ is injective.

For a tuple $\underline{n} = (n_0, \cdots, n_d)$ of increasing natural numbers, we define a functor

$$\Phi_{\underline{n}} := \mathrm{Hom}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{d} \mathcal{O}_X(-n_i), -) : \mathcal{C}oh(X) \to \mathcal{R}\mathrm{eps}(K_{\underline{n}})$$

where $K_{\underline{n}}$ denotes the quiver with vertex set $V = \{n_0, \ldots, n_d\}$ and $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(n_{i+1} - n_i))$ arrows from n_i to n_{i+1}:

$$K_{\underline{n}} = \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \longrightarrow & & & & \longrightarrow & \\ n_0 & \vdots & n_1 & & n_{d-1} & \vdots & n_d \\ \bullet & H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(n_1 - n_0)) & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(n_d - n_{d-1})) & \bullet \\ & \vdots & & & & & \\ & \longrightarrow & & & & \longrightarrow & \end{array} \right).$$

More precisely, if $\mathcal{E}$ is a coherent sheaf on X, then $\Phi_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{E})$ is the quiver representation denoted $W_{\mathcal{E}} = (W_{\mathcal{E},n_0}, \ldots, W_{\mathcal{E},n_d}, \mathrm{ev}_1, \ldots, \mathrm{ev}_d)$ where we let $W_{\mathcal{E},l} := H^0(\mathcal{E}(l))$ and define the maps $\mathrm{ev}_l : H^0(\mathcal{E}(n_{l-1})) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{E}(n_l - n_{l-i})) \to H^0(\mathcal{E}(n_i))$ using the evaluation map on sections.

For the dimension vector $d_{\underline{n}}(P) := (P(n_0), \ldots, P(n_d))$, we observe that

$$\Phi_{\underline{n}} : \mathcal{C}oh_P^{n_0-\mathrm{reg}}(X) \to \mathcal{R}\mathrm{eps}_{d_{\underline{n}}(P)}(K_{\underline{n}}).$$

Moreover, we note that the assignment that maps a Hilbert polynomial P of a sheaf on X to the corresponding dimension vector $d_{\underline{n}}(P)$ is injective.

We want to choose a stability parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ for representations of $K_{\underline{n}}$ of dimension vector $d_{\underline{n}}(P)$ such that $\Phi_{\underline{n}}$ sends semistable sheaves to θ-semistable quiver representations for $\underline{n} >> 0$ (that is, for $n_d >> n_{d-1} >> \cdots >> n_0 >> 0$). Let

$$\theta = \theta_{\underline{n}}(P) := (\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_d) \quad \text{where} \quad \theta_i := \sum_{j<i} P(n_j) - \sum_{j>i} P(n_j);$$

then $\sum_{i=0}^{d} \theta_i P(n_i) = 0$. The following lemma demonstrates this is a suitable choice.

Lemma 6.10. *Let $\theta = \theta_{\underline{n}}(P)$ as above and $\mathcal{E}$ be a sheaf on X. If, for all $j < i$, we have*

$$\frac{h^0(\mathcal{E}(n_j))}{h^0(\mathcal{E}(n_i))} \leq \frac{P(n_j)}{P(n_i)},$$

then $\theta(\mathcal{E}) \geq 0$.

Proof. From the definition $\theta(\mathcal{E}) := \sum_{i=0}^{d} \theta_i h^0(\mathcal{E}(n_i))$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\mathcal{E}) &= \sum_i \left(\sum_{j<i} P(n_j) - \sum_{j>i} P(n_j) \right) h^0(\mathcal{E}(n_i)) \\ &= \sum_i \sum_{j<i} \big(P(n_j) h^0(\mathcal{E}(n_i)) - P(n_i) h^0(\mathcal{E}(n_j)) \big) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by using the given inequalities. □

Using this lemma, it is easy to prove the following corollary analogously to [1] Theorem 5.10.

Corollary 6.11. *Let P be a fixed Hilbert polynomial of a sheaf on X; then for $\underline{n} >> 0$, we have*

$$\Phi_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{C}oh_P^{ss}(X)) \subset \mathcal{R}eps_{d_{\underline{n}}(P)}^{\theta_{\underline{n}}(P)}(K_{\underline{n}}).$$

Finally, we need to find the value of the parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d+1}$ needed to define the correct notion of HN filtrations of representations of $K_{\underline{n}}$ in order to prove an analogue of Theorem 6.8. The following lemma, whose proof is analogous to Lemma 6.10, shows that

$$\alpha = \alpha_{\underline{n}}(P) := (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d) \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_i := \sum_{j<i} P(n_j) + \sum_{j>i} P(n_j)$$

is a suitable choice.

Lemma 6.12. *Let $\theta = \theta_{\underline{n}}(P)$ and $\alpha = \alpha_{\underline{n}}(P)$ be as above and $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be two sheaves on X. If, for all $j < i$, we have*

$$\frac{h^0(\mathcal{E}(n_j))}{h^0(\mathcal{E}(n_i))} \leq \frac{h^0(\mathcal{F}(n_j))}{h^0(\mathcal{F}(n_i))},$$

then

$$\frac{\theta(\mathcal{E})}{\alpha(\mathcal{E})} \geq \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F})}{\alpha(\mathcal{F})}.$$

Finally, we deduce the required corollary in the same way as the proof of Theorem 6.8, by using the above lemma.

Corollary 6.13. *Fix a Hilbert polynomial P and let $\theta = \theta_{\underline{n}}(P)$ and $\alpha = \alpha_{\underline{n}}(P)$. For a HN type $\tau = (P_1, \dots, P_s)$ of sheaves on X with Hilbert polynomial P, we have, for $\underline{n} >> 0$, that*

$$\Phi_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{C}oh^{\tau}_{P}(X)) \subset \mathcal{R}eps^{\gamma_{\underline{n}}(\tau)}_{d_{\underline{n}}(P)}(K_{\underline{n}})$$

where

$$\gamma_{\underline{n}}(\tau) := (d_{\underline{n}}(P_1), \dots, d_{\underline{n}}(P_s))$$

and the HN stratification on the stack of quiver representations is taken with respect to (θ, α). In particular, the assignments $P \mapsto d_{\underline{n}}(P)$ and $\tau \mapsto \gamma_{\underline{n}}(\tau)$ are both injective.

References

1. L. Álvarez-Cónsul and A. D. King, *A functorial construction of moduli of sheaves*, Invent. Math. **168** (2007), 613–666.
2. M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The Yang–Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A **308** (1982), no. 1505, 523–615.
3. M. Ballard, D. Favero, and L. Katzarkov, *Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients and derived categories*, (2012) arXiv:1203.6643.
4. E. Bifet, F. Ghione, and M. Letizia, *On the Abel-Jacobi map for divisors of higher rank on a curve*, Math. Ann. **299** (1994), no. 4, 641 –672.
5. G. Daskalopoulos, *The topology of the space of stable bundles on a Riemann surface*, J. diff. geom. **36** (1992), 699–746.
6. I. Dolgachev and Y. Hu, *Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients*, P. Math. de L'IHÉS **87** (1998), 5–51.
7. D. Halpern-Leistner, *The derived category of a git quotient*, arXiv:1203.0276, 2012.
8. G. Harder and M. S. Narasimhan, *On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves*, Math. Annalen **212** (1975), 215–248.
9. W. H. Hesselink, *Uniform instability in reductive groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **304** (1978), 74–96.
10. V. Hoskins, *Stratifications associated to reductive group actions on affine spaces*, to appear in Q. J. Math.
11. V. Hoskins and F. Kirwan, *Quotients of unstable subvarieties and moduli spaces of sheaves of fixed Harder–Narasimhan type*, Proc. London Math. Soc **105** (2012), no. 4, 852–890.
12. D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, Vieweg, 1997.
13. G. R. Kempf, *Instability in invariant theory*, Ann. of Math. **108** (1978), no. 2, 299–316 (English).
14. A. D. King, *Moduli of representations of finite dimensional algebras*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **45** (1994), 515–530.
15. F. Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Notes, no. 31, Princeton University Press, 1984.
16. G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 39, Springer–Verlag, 2000.
17. D. Mumford, *Lectures on curves on algebraic surfaces*, Princeton University Press, 1966.
18. D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, third ed., Springer, 1993.

19. L. Ness, *A stratification of the null cone via the moment map (with an appendix by D. Mumford)*, Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), no. 6, 1281–1329.
20. N. Nitsure, *Schematic Harder–Narasimhan filtration*, Internat. J. Math **22** (2011), no. 10, 1365–1373.
21. M. Reineke, *The Harder–Narasimhan system in quantum groups and cohomology of quiver moduli*, Invent. Math. **152** (2003), no. 2, 349–368.
22. A. Rudakov, *Stability for an abelian category*, J. Algebra **197** (1997), no. 1, 231–245.
23. S. Shatz, *The decomposition and specialization of algebraic families of vector bundles*, Compositio math. **35** (1977), 163–187.
24. C. T. Simpson, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **79** (1994), 47–129.
25. M. Thaddeus, *Geometric invariant theory and flips*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **9** (1996), no. 3, 691–723.

Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 3, Raum 011, 14195 Berlin, Germany

hoskins@math.fu-berlin.de